



34 Providence Street  
 Portland, ME 04103  
 Tel. (207) 773-8190 • Fax (206) 984-3086  
[www.lowimpacthydro.org](http://www.lowimpacthydro.org)

## LOW IMPACT HYDROPOWER QUESTIONNAIRE

Excerpted from Part VI, Section E of the Low Impact Hydropower Certification Program. This Questionnaire must be completed based upon the Line-By-Line instructions available in Chapter VI, Section D of the program, available on-line in Word format at <http://www.lowimpacthydro.org>. PLEASE SUBMIT THIS QUESTIONNAIRE IN WORD FORMAT.

### E. LOW IMPACT HYDROPOWER QUESTIONNAIRE

<b>Background Information</b>	
1) Name of the Facility as used in the FERC license/exemption.	Carver Falls Hydroelectric Project (P- 11475)
2) Applicant's name, contact information and relationship to the Facility. If the Applicant is not the Facility owner/operator, also provide the name and contact information for the Facility owner and operator.	Green Mountain Power <del>Central Vermont Public Service Corporation</del> 77 Grove Street Rutland, VT 05701 Attn: Michael Scarzello, P.E.

<p>3) Location of Facility including (a) the state in which Facility is located; (b) the river on which Facility is located; (c) the river-mile location of the Facility dam; (d) the Facility's location relative to other dams on the river upstream and downstream of the Facility, and (e) the exact longitude and latitude of the Facility dam.</p>	<p>a) The Carver Falls Project is located in West Haven, VT and Hampton, NY.</p> <p>b) Poultney River</p> <p>c) RM: 3.8</p> <p>d) None</p> <p>e) South Dam - Latitude: 43.6271, Longitude: -73.3068 North Dam - Latitude: 43.6277, Longitude: -73.3066</p>
<p>4) Installed capacity.</p>	<p>2.251 MW Licensed Capacity</p>
<p>5) Average annual generation.</p>	<p>7,241 MWH 10-year average</p>
<p>6) Regulatory status.</p>	<p>Project No. 11475, FERC license was issued on 02/25/2009 for a 30-year term. The license, and water quality certifications (WQCs) in New York and Vermont, were subsequently amended as a result of turbine unit replacement. See Attachment A for the license, WQCs and amendments. See Attachment C for details on the unit replacement.</p> <p>One short term (2-hour) minimum flow deviation occurred on May 10, 2011, for which FERC subsequently issued a notification of nonconformance. See Attachment D, Question A.1.</p>
<p>7) Reservoir volume and surface area measured at the normal maximum operating level.</p>	<p>10 acre impoundment with 18 acre-feet usable storage</p>
<p>8) Area occupied by non-reservoir facilities (e.g., dam, penstocks, powerhouse).</p>	<p>23,300 sq. ft. or approx 0.5 acres</p>

9) Number of acres inundated by the Facility.	N/A – Run-of-river facility
10) Number of acres contained in a 200-foot zone extending around entire reservoir.	N/A
11) Contacts for Resource Agencies and non-governmental organizations	See Attachment B
12) Description of the Facility, its mode of operation ( <i>i.e.</i> , peaking/run of river) and photographs, maps and diagrams.	Run-of-river operation  A description of the facility, photos, and maps/diagrams are included in Attachment C.
<b>Questions for “New” Facilities Only:</b>  If the Facility you are applying for is “new” ( <i>i.e.</i> , an existing dam that added or increased power generation capacity after August of 1998) please answer the following questions to determine eligibility for the program	Turbine Unit No. 1 was replaced in 2010 – 2011 with a slightly larger unit. See Attachment C and Supplemental Documents for a description of the replacement.
13) When was the dam associated with the Facility completed?	The dam was originally built in 1894, with a new dam section added after the 1927 flood.
14) When did the added or increased generation first generate electricity? If the added or increased generation is not yet operational, please answer question 18 as well.	2011
15) Did the added or increased power generation capacity require or include any new dam or other diversion structure?	NO
16) Did the added or increased capacity include or require a change in water flow through the facility that worsened conditions for fish, wildlife, or water quality (for example, did operations change from run-of-river to peaking)?	NO

<p>17 (a) Was the existing dam recommended for removal or decommissioning by resource agencies, or recommended for removal or decommissioning by a broad representation of interested persons and organizations in the local and/or regional community prior to the added or increased capacity?</p> <p>(b) If you answered “yes” to question 17(a), the Facility is not eligible for certification, unless you can show that the added or increased capacity resulted in specific measures to improve fish, wildlife, or water quality protection at the existing dam. If such measures were a result, please explain.</p>	<p>a) NO</p> <p>b) N/A</p>	
<p>18 (a) If the added or increased generation is not yet operational, has the increased or added generation received regulatory authorization (e.g., approval by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission)? If not, the facility is not eligible for consideration; and</p> <p>(b) Are there any pending appeals or litigation regarding that authorization? If so, the facility is not eligible for consideration.</p>	<p>a) N/A – The increased generation became operational in 2011, after license amendment and amendments to VT and NY WQCs. See Attachment A.</p> <p>b) NO</p>	
<p><b>A. Flows</b></p>	<p>PASS</p>	<p>FAIL</p>
<p>1) Is the Facility in <i>Compliance</i> with <i>Resource Agency Recommendations</i> issued after December 31, 1986 regarding flow conditions for fish and wildlife protection, mitigation and enhancement (including in-stream flows, ramping and peaking rate conditions, and seasonal and episodic instream flow variations) for both the reach below the tailrace and all bypassed reaches?</p>	<p>YES – A variety of flow and reservoir conditions were included in the License and Water Quality Certification.. However, a single short term (2-hour) minimum flow deviation occurred on May 10, 2011. See Attachment D.</p> <p><b>YES = Pass, Go to B</b> N/A = Go to A2</p>	<p>NO = Fail</p>

2) If there is no flow condition recommended by any Resource Agency for the Facility, or if the recommendation was issued prior to January 1, 1987, is the Facility in Compliance with a flow release schedule, both below the tailrace and in all bypassed reaches, that at a minimum meets Aquatic Base Flow standards or “good”_habitat flow standards calculated using the Montana-Tennant method?	YES = Pass, go to B NO = Go to A3	
3) If the Facility is unable to meet the flow standards in A.2., has the Applicant demonstrated, and obtained a letter from the relevant Resource Agency confirming that demonstration, that the flow conditions at the Facility are appropriately protective of fish, wildlife, and water quality?	YES = Pass, go to B	NO = Fail
<b>B. Water Quality</b>	PASS	FAIL
1) Is the Facility either:  a) In Compliance with all conditions issued pursuant to a Clean Water Act Section 401 water quality certification issued for the Facility after December 31, 1986? Or  b) In Compliance with the quantitative water quality standards established by the state that support designated uses pursuant to the federal Clean Water Act in the Facility area and in the downstream reach?	a) YES – See Attachment D. The Water Quality Certifications and amendments are included in Attachment A.  <b>YES = Go to B2</b>	NO = Fail
2) Is the Facility area or the downstream reach currently identified by the state as not meeting water quality standards (including narrative and numeric criteria and designated uses) pursuant to Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act?	YES – See Attachment D.  <b>YES = Go to B3</b> NO = Pass	
3) If the answer to question B.2 is yes, has there been a determination that the Facility does not cause, or contribute to, the violation?	YES – See Attachment D.  <b>YES = Pass</b>	NO = Fail
<b>C. Fish Passage and Protection</b>	PASS	FAIL

<p>1) Is the Facility in Compliance with <i>Mandatory Fish Passage Prescriptions</i> for upstream and downstream passage of anadromous and catadromous fish issued by Resource Agencies after December 31, 1986?</p>	<p>N/A – There is no federal mandatory fish passage prescription at the facility, although FERC reserves authority in Article 403 of the License.</p> <p>YES = Go to C5  <b>N/A = Go to C2</b></p>	<p>NO = Fail</p>
<p>2) Are there historic records of anadromous and/or catadromous fish movement through the Facility area, but anadromous and/or catadromous fish do not presently move through the Facility area (<i>e.g.</i>, because passage is blocked at a downstream dam or the fish no longer have a migratory run)?</p> <p>a) If the fish are extinct or extirpated from the Facility area or downstream reach, has the Applicant demonstrated that the extinction or extirpation was not due in whole or part to the Facility?</p> <p>b) If a Resource Agency Recommended adoption of upstream and/or downstream fish passage measures at a specific future date, or when a triggering event occurs (such as completion of passage through a downstream obstruction or the completion of a specified process), has the Facility owner/operator made a legally enforceable commitment to provide such passage?</p>	<p>NO</p> <p>YES = Go to C2a  <b>NO = Go to C3</b></p> <p>YES = Go to C2b  N/A = Go to C2b</p> <p>YES = Go to C5  N/A = Go to C3</p>	<p>NO = Fail</p> <p>NO = Fail</p>

<p>3) If, since December 31, 1986:</p> <p>a) Resource Agencies have had the opportunity to issue, and considered issuing, a Mandatory Fish Passage Prescription for upstream and/or downstream passage of anadromous or catadromous fish (including delayed installation as described in C2a above), and</p> <p>b) The Resource Agencies declined to issue a Mandatory Fish Passage Prescription,</p> <p>c) Was a reason for the Resource Agencies' declining to issue a Mandatory Fish Passage Prescription one of the following: (1) the technological infeasibility of passage, (2) the absence of habitat upstream of the Facility due at least in part to inundation by the Facility impoundment, or (3) the anadromous or catadromous fish are no longer present in the Facility area and/or downstream reach due in whole or part to the presence of the Facility?</p>	<p>N/A</p> <p>NO = Go to C5  <b>N/A = Go to C4</b></p>	<p>YES = Fail</p>
<p>4) If C3 was not applicable:</p> <p>a) Are upstream and downstream fish passage survival rates for anadromous and catadromous fish at the dam each documented at greater than 95% over 80% of the run using a generally accepted monitoring methodology? Or</p> <p>b) If the Facility is unable to meet the fish passage standards in 4.a, has the Applicant either i) demonstrated, and obtained a letter from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or National Marine Fisheries Service confirming that demonstration, that the upstream and downstream fish passage measures (if any) at the Facility are appropriately protective of the fishery resource, or ii) committed to the provision of fish passage measures in the future and obtained a letter from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service indicating that passage measures are not currently warranted?</p>	<p>N/A</p> <p>YES = Go to C5  <b>N/A = Go to C6</b></p>	<p>NO = Fail</p>

5) Is the Facility in Compliance with Mandatory Fish Passage Prescriptions for upstream and/or downstream passage of <i>Riverine</i> fish?	N/A - There is no federal mandatory prescription for the passage of riverine fish within the Project although FERC reserves authority in Article 403 of the License.  YES = Go to C6 N/A = <b>Go to C6</b>	NO = Fail
6) Is the Facility in Compliance with Resource Agency Recommendations for Riverine, anadromous and catadromous fish entrainment protection, such as tailrace barriers?	N/A – The facility is equipped with trashracks w/1 3/8-inch spacing.  YES = Pass, go to D N/A = <b>Pass, go to D</b>	NO = Fail
<b>D. Watershed Protection</b>	PASS	FAIL
1 ) Is there a buffer zone dedicated for conservation purposes (to protect fish and wildlife habitat, water quality, aesthetics and/or low-impact recreation) extending 200 feet from the normal maximum reservoir level of the reservoir for at least 50% of the shoreline, including all of the undeveloped shoreline?	YES = Pass, go to E and receive 3 extra years of certification	<b>NO = go to D2</b>
2 ) Has the Facility owner/operator established an approved watershed enhancement fund that: 1) could achieve within the project’s watershed the ecological and recreational equivalent of land protection in D.1, and 2) has the agreement of appropriate stakeholders and state and federal resource agencies?	YES – In accordance with Condition M of the Vermont WQC, the Lake Champlain and Tributaries Restoration Fund was established in 2009. See Attachment D.  <b>YES = Pass, go to E and receive 3 extra years of certification</b>	NO = go to D3



3 ) Has the Facility owner/operator established through a settlement agreement with appropriate stakeholders, with state and federal resource agencies agreement, an appropriate shoreland buffer or equivalent watershed land protection plan for conservation purposes (to protect fish and wildlife habitat, water quality, aesthetics and/or low impact recreation)?	YES = Pass, go to E	NO = go to D4
4 ) Is the facility in compliance with both state and federal resource agencies recommendations in a license approved shoreland management plan regarding protection, mitigation or enhancement of shorelands surrounding the project?	YES = Pass, go to E N/A = Pass go to E	No = Fail
<b>E. Threatened and Endangered Species Protection</b>	<b>PASS</b>	<b>FAIL</b>
1) Are threatened or endangered species listed under state or federal Endangered Species Acts present in the Facility area and/or downstream reach?	YES – See Attachment D.  <b>YES = Go to E2</b> <b>NO = Pass, go to F</b>	
2) If a recovery plan has been adopted for the threatened or endangered species pursuant to Section 4(f) of the Endangered Species Act or similar state provision, is the Facility in Compliance with all recommendations in the plan relevant to the Facility?	YES – Requirements are included in Article 405 of the license. See Attachment D.  <b>YES = Go to E3</b> <b>N/A = Go to E3</b>	NO = Fail
3) If the Facility has received authorization to incidentally <i>Take</i> a listed species through: (i) Having a relevant agency complete consultation pursuant to ESA Section 7 resulting in a biological opinion, a habitat recovery plan, and/or (if needed) an incidental Take statement; (ii) Obtaining an incidental Take permit pursuant to ESA Section 10; or (iii) For species listed by a state and not by the federal government, obtaining authorization pursuant to similar state procedures; is the Facility in Compliance with conditions pursuant to that authorization?	N/A  YES = Go to E4 <b>N/A = Go to E5</b>	NO = Fail

<p>4) If a biological opinion applicable to the Facility for the threatened or endangered species has been issued, can the Applicant demonstrate that:</p> <p>a) The biological opinion was accompanied by a FERC license or exemption or a habitat conservation plan? Or</p> <p>b) The biological opinion was issued pursuant to or consistent with a recovery plan for the endangered or threatened species? Or</p> <p>c) There is no recovery plan for the threatened or endangered species under active development by the relevant Resource Agency? Or</p> <p>d) The recovery plan under active development will have no material effect on the Facility's operations?</p>	<p>N/A</p> <p>YES = Pass, go to F</p>	<p>NO = Fail</p>
<p>5) If E.2 and E.3 are not applicable, has the Applicant demonstrated that the Facility and Facility operations do not negatively affect listed species?</p>	<p>YES – See Attachment D.</p> <p><b>YES = Pass, go to F</b></p>	<p>NO = Fail</p>
<p><b>F. Cultural Resource Protection</b></p>	<p>PASS</p>	<p>FAIL</p>
<p>1) If FERC-regulated, is the Facility in Compliance with all requirements regarding Cultural Resource protection, mitigation or enhancement included in the FERC license or exemption?</p>	<p>YES – Article 406 of the License stipulates requirements. See Attachment D.</p> <p><b>YES = Pass, go to G</b></p> <p>N/A = Go to F2</p>	<p>NO = Fail</p>
<p>2) If not FERC-regulated, does the Facility owner/operator have in place (and is in Compliance with) a plan for the protection, mitigation or enhancement of impacts to Cultural Resources approved by the relevant state or federal agency or <i>Native American Tribe</i>, or a letter from a senior officer of the relevant agency or Tribe that no plan is needed because Cultural Resources are not negatively affected by the Facility?</p>	<p>YES = Pass, go to G</p>	<p>NO = Fail</p>
<p><b>G. Recreation</b></p>	<p>PASS</p>	<p>FAIL</p>

<p>1) If FERC-regulated, is the Facility in Compliance with the recreational access, accommodation (including recreational flow releases) and facilities conditions in its FERC license or exemption?</p>	<p>YES – Articles 401 and 407 of the License, and Conditions 12, 5 and 16 of the New York WQC, and Conditions B, J and N of the Vermont WQC stipulate requirements. See Attachment D.</p> <p><b>YES = Go to G3</b>  <b>N/A = Go to G2</b></p>	<p>NO = Fail</p>
<p>2) If not FERC-regulated, does the Facility provide recreational access, accommodation (including recreational flow releases) and facilities, as Recommended by Resource Agencies or other agencies responsible for recreation?</p>	<p>YES = Go to G3</p>	<p>NO = Fail</p>
<p>3) Does the Facility allow access to the reservoir and downstream reaches without fees or charges?</p>	<p>YES</p> <p><b>YES = Pass, go to H</b></p>	<p>NO = Fail</p>
<p><b>H. Facilities Recommended for Removal</b></p>	<p>PASS</p>	<p>FAIL</p>
<p>1) Is there a Resource Agency Recommendation for removal of the dam associated with the Facility?</p>	<p>NO – There are no Resource Agency Recommendations for removal of any dams associated with the Project.</p> <p><b>NO = Pass, Facility is Low Impact</b></p>	<p>YES = Fail</p>