

Tallassee Shoals LLC 2399 Tallassee Rd Athens, GA 30607 (706) 540-7621

December 2, 2013

Dear Sir or Madam,

Attached Please find our application for renewal of our LIHI certification. There have been no changes in our operation other than repairing our small 100 KW unit that was not operational during the last renewal.

Regards,

Walter A Puryear Managing Partner

Wast Fungion

I hereby swear that the material presented in the Re-Certification Application Package is True and complete.

Walter A Puryear Date 2013

The primary goal of the Low Impact Hydropower Institute's Certification Program is public benefit. The Governing Board and its agents are not responsible for financial or other private consequences of its certification decisions. The undersigned Applicant agrees to hold the Low Impact Hydropower Institute, the Governing Board and its agents harmless for any decision rendered on this or other application or on any other action pursuant to the Low Impact Hydropower Institute's Certification Program.

Walter A Puryear Date

LIHI CERTIFICATION HANDBOOK

-- PART VII --CERTIFICATION QUESTIONNAIRE

** PLEASE SUBMIT THIS QUESTIONNAIRE IN WORD FORMAT **

Γ	_	7 -		N N			
	0					2	
	operating level.	7) Regulatory status.	5) Average annual generation.	4) Installed capacity.	the river on which Facility is located; (c) the river-mile location of the Facility dam; (d) the river's drainage area in square miles at the Facility intake; (e) the location of other dams on the same river upstream and downstream of the Facility; and (f) the exact latitude and longitude of the Facility dam.	2) Applicant's name, contact information and relationship to the Facility. If the Applicant is not the Facility owner/operator, also provide the name and contact information for the Facility owner and operator.	Background Information 1) Name of the Facility as used in the FERC license/exemption.
	37 Acres, 368 Acre Feet	FERC 6951	4,961 MWH	2.3 MW	 a) Georgia b) Middle Oconee River c) .5 miles South of Ga Hwy 330 on Middle Oconee R. d) 358 sq miles e) Barnett Shoals located approx 10 miles downstream f) lat 33.992583 lon -83.502424 	Walter A Puryear Managing Partner 2399 Tallassee Rd Athens, GA 30607 706 540 7621	Tallassee Shoals LLC

	flow through the facility that worsened conditions for fish, wildlife, or water quality (for example, did operations change from run-of-river to peaking)?
	any new dam or other diversion structure?
	the added or increased generation first generate electricity? If the added or increased generation is not yet operational, please answer question 18 as well.
	13) When was the dam associated with the Facility completed?
	If the Facility you are applying for is "new" (i.e., an existing dam that added or increased power generation capacity after August of 1998) please answer the following questions to determine eligibility for the program
	Questions for "New" Facilities Only:
Attachment 2	12) Description of the Facility, its mode of operation (<i>i.e.</i> , peaking/run of river) and photographs, maps and diagrams.
Attachment 1	11) Contacts for Resource Agencies and non-governmental organizations
27 Acres	reservoir.
37 Acres	9) Number of acres inundated by the Facility.
3.5 Acres	Area occupied by non-reservoir facilities (e.g., dam, penstocks, powerhouse).
	0) A

the recommendation was issued prior to January 1, 1987, is the Facility in Compliance with a flow release schedule, both below the tailrace and in all bypassed reaches, that at a minimum meets Aquatic Base Flow standards or "good" habitat flow standards calculated using the Montana-Tennant method?	1) Is the Facility in <i>Compliance</i> with <i>Resource Agency Recommendations</i> issued after December 31, 1986 regarding flow conditions for fish and wildlife protection, mitigation and enhancement (including in-stream flows, ramping and peaking rate conditions, and seasonal and episodic instream flow variations) for both the reach below the tailrace and all bypassed reaches?	A. Flows	18 (a) If the added or increased generation is not yet operational, has the increased or added generation received regulatory authorization (e.g., approval by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission)? If not, the facility is not eligible for consideration; and (b) Are there any pending appeals or litigation regarding that authorization? If so, the facility is not eligible for consideration.	(b) If you answered "yes" to question 17(a), the Facility is not eligible for certification, unless you can show that the added or increased capacity resulted in specific measures to improve fish, wildlife, or water quality protection at the existing dam. If such measures were a result, please explain.	by resource agencies, or recommended for removal or decommissioning a broad representation of interested persons and organizations in the local and/or regional community prior to the added or increased capacity?
YES = Pass, goto B NO = Go to A3 ed	Ye N/B YI	PASS			Бу
	NO = Fail	FAII			

1	2 5	-	3)) /) =	0.		L.
movement through the Facility area, but anadromous and/or catadromous			the Facility does not cause, or contribute to, the violation?	0 7 8		 a) In Compliance with all conditions issued pursuant to a Clean Water Act Section 401 water quality certification issued for the Facility after December 31, 1986? Or 	B. Water Quality 1) Is the Facility either:	3) If the Facility is unable to meet the flow standards in A.2., has the Applicant demonstrated, and obtained a letter from the relevant Resource Agency confirming that demonstration, that the flow conditions at the Facility are appropriately protective of fish, wildlife, and water quality?
YES = Go to C2a $NO = Go to C3$	YES = Go to C5 N/A = Go to C2 N/A	PASS	YES = Pass	YES = Go to B3 NO = Pass N_0		Yes	PASS VES = Go to B2	YES = Pass, goto B
	NO = Fail	FAIL	NO = Fail			NO - Fall	FAIL NO - Ea:	NO = Fail

4	>				3)			
4) If C3 was not applicable:	part to the presence of the Facility?	Mandatory Fish Passage Prescription one of the following: (1) the technological infeasibility of passage, (2) the absence of habitat upstream of the Facility due at least in part to inundation by the Facility impoundment, or (3) the anadromous or catadromous fish are no longer present in the Facility to the same and the facility of passage.	delayed installation as described in C2a above), and	a) Resource Agencies have had the opportunity to issue, and considered issuing, a Mandatory Fish Passage Prescription for upstream and/or	3) If, since December 31, 1986:	b) If a Resource Agency Recommended adoption of upstream and/or downstream fish passage measures at a specific future date, or when a triggering event occurs (such as completion of passage through a downstream obstruction or the completion of a specified process), has the Facility owner/operator made a legally enforceable commitment to provide such passage?	a) If the fish are extinct or extirpated from the Facility area or downstream reach, has the Applicant demonstrated that the extinction or extirpation was not due in whole or part to the Facility?	is blocked at a downstream dam or the fish no longer have a migratory run)?
YES = Go to C5			Z _o	NO = Go to C5 N/A = Go to C4		YES = Go to C5 N/A = Go to C3	YES = Go to C2b N/A = Go to C2b	NO
NO = Fail				YES = Fail		NO = Fail	NO = Fail	

1) couland p	2) H	habita avera undev			0 =		5)	b)	a
1) could achieve within the project's watershed the ecological and recreational equivalent of land protection in D.1, and 2) has the agreement of appropriate stakeholders and state and federal resource agencies?	as the Eacility owner.	habitat, water quality, aesthetics and/or low-impact recreation) extending 200 feet from the average annual high water line for at least 50% of the shoreline, including all of the undeveloped shoreline?	there a buffer round delicated for		Riverine, anadromous and catadromous fish entrainment protection, such as tailrace barriers?	for upstream and/or downstream passage of <i>Riverine</i> fish?	Is the Facility in Compliance with Mandatam, Fig. 1.	and catadromous fish at the dam each documented at greater than 95% over 80% of the run using a generally accepted monitoring methodology? Or If the Facility is unable to meet the fish passage standards in 4.a, has the Applicant either i) demonstrated, and obtained a letter from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or National Marine Fisheries Service confirming that demonstration, that the upstream and downstream fish passage measures (if any) at the Facility are appropriately protective of the fishery resource, or ii) committed to the provision of fish passage measures in the future and obtained a letter from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service indicating that passage measures are not currently warranted?	a) Are upsurean and downstream fish passage survival rates for anadromous
YES = Pass, go to E and receive 3 extra years of certification	extra years of certification N/A	YES = Pass, go to E and receive 3	PASS	1 5	YES = Pass, go to D N/A = Pass, go to D	YES = Go to C6 N/A = Go to C6 N/A			
$NO = g_0$ to $D3$		NO = go to D2	FAIL		NO = Fail	NO = Fail			

4	3)	2 2		4 : 2	ဗု 🗷 ခု သ
If a biological opinion applicable to the Facility for the threatened or endangered species has been issued, can the Applicant demonstrate that: a) The biological opinion was accompanied by a FERC license or exemption or a habitat conservation plan? Or	If the Facility has received authorization to incidentally <i>Take</i> a listed species through: (i) Having a relevant agency complete consultation pursuant to ESA Section 7 resulting in a biological opinion, a habitat recovery plan, and/or (if needed) an incidental Take statement; (ii) Obtaining an incidental Take permit pursuant to ESA Section 10; or (iii) For species listed by a state and not by the federal government, obtaining authorization pursuant to similar state procedures; is the Facility in Compliance with conditions pursuant to that authorization?			4) Is the facility in compliance with both state and federal resource agencies recommendations in a license approved shoreland management plan regarding protection, mitigation or enhancement of shorelands surrounding the project?	3) Has the Facility owner/operator established through a settlement agreement with appropriate stakeholders, with state and federal resource agencies agreement, an appropriate shoreland buffer or equivalent watershed land protection plan for conservation purposes (to protect fish and wildlife habitat, water quality, aesthetics and/or low impact recreation)?
YES = Pass, go to F	YES = Go to E4 N/A = Go to E5	YES = Go to E3 N/A = Go to E3	PASS YES = Go to E2 NO = Pass, go to F No	YES = Pass, goto E N/A = Pass go to E	YES = Pass, goto E
NO = Fail	NO = Fail	NO = Fail	FAIL	No=Fail	NO = go to D4

		Recommended by Resource Agencies or other agencies responsible for recreation?
NO = Fail	YES = Go to G3	2) If not FERC-regulated, does the Facility provide recreational access, accommodation (including recreational flow releases) and facilities, as
	N/A = Go to G2 Yes	1
NO = Fail	YES = Go to G3	1) If FERC-regulated, is the Facility in Compliance with the recreational
FAIL	PASS	_
		relevant agency or Tribe that no plan is needed because Cultural Resources are not negatively affected by the Facility?
NO = Fail	YES = Pass, goto G	is in Compliance with) a plan for the protection, mitigation or enhancement of impacts to Cultural Resources approved by the relevant state or federal agency or <i>Native American Tribe</i> or a letter from a conic of the
	Yes	
NO = Fail	YES = Pass, goto G N/A = Go to F2	regarding Cultural Resource protection, mitigation or enhancement included in the FERC license or exemption?
FAIL	PASS	1) If FERC-regulated is the Easility in Committee with an extension
		- 1
NO = Fail	YES = Pass, go to F	Facility and Facility operations do not negatively affect listed species?
		c) There is no recovery plan for the threatened or endangered species under active development by the relevant Resource Agency? Or
		b) The biological opinion was issued pursuant to or consistent with a recovery plan for the endangered or threatened species? Or

		-	_			,	.,	
	associated with the Facility?	associated with the Feetite 8	1) Is there a December A and D	Tacilities Decommended for D	Without fees or charges?	3) Bocs die Facility allow access to the reservoir and downstream reaches	Does the Facility of the state	
No	is Low Impact	NO = Pass, Facility	PASS	Yes	YES = Pass, goto H			
		YES = Fail	FAIL		NO = Fail			

Attachment 1

Agencies/Organizations involved in recommending conditions for Tallassee Shoals Hydroelectric Project:

Project Contact/Phone

U.S. Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service 105 Westpark Dr Athens, GA 30606

Alice Palmer 706 613 9493

Georgia Department of Natural Resources Wildlife Resources Division 2070 Hwy 278 S.E. Social Circle, GA 30025

John Biagi Asst. Fisheries Chief 706 557 3035

Georgia Department of Natural Resources Environmental Protection Division 4244 International Parkway Atlanta, GA 30354

404 363 7000 Kathy Methier / Water Quality Keith Parsons / Water Protection Bob McCleland / Walter Allocation

Georgia Department of Natural Resources Historic Preservation Office 254 Washington St SW Atlanta, GA 30303

404 656 2840

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Atlanta Regional Office Gwinnett Commerce Center 3700 Crestwood Pkwy NW 9thFloor Duluth, GA 30096

Wayne B King, Regional Engineer 678 245 3000

Attachment 2

Description of Tallassee Shoals Hydroelectric Project - FERC Project 6951

The Tallassee Shoals Hydroelectric Project is located on the Middle Oconee River .5 miles downstream of Ga Hwy 330 in Clarke and Jackson Counties, Georgia. The original dam was constructed in 1895 and the current project was constructed in 1985. Operations began in 1986.

There are two generating units, a 2.2 MW variable pitch Kaplan fed by a 400 yd headrace and a 100 KW submersible fixed pitch Kaplan built within the dam. Both are operational but the 100 KW unit is rarely used due to its relative size and the manual labor required to clean its trash rake. Operations are run of the river with a Ga EPD required minimum flow between dam and tailrace of 57 cfs. The minimum flow is maintained via computer control with continuous electronic record keeping.

Total available head is 52 feet with usable flows of between 200 and 800 cfs. The dam is of concrete gravity construction is rated as low hazard.





