LOW-IMPACT HYDROPOWER POWER INSTITUTE CERTIFICATION APPLICATION

MIDDLE RAQUETTE RIVER HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT (FERC NO. 2320)



Prepared for:

Brookfield Renewable

Prepared by:



LOW-IMPACT HYDROPOWER POWER INSTITUTE CERTIFICATION APPLICATION

MIDDLE RAQUETTE HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT (FERC PROJECT NO. 2320)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1.0 | FACILITY DESCRIPTION | 4 |
|-----|------------------------|----|
| 2.0 | STANDARDS MATRICES | 15 |
| 3.0 | SUPPORTING INFORMATION | 19 |
| 4.0 | CONTACTS FORMS | |
| 5.0 | SWORN STATEMENT | 41 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE 1 | FACILITY DESCRIPTION INFORMATION FOR MIDDLE RAQUETTE |
|---------|--|
| | HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT (LIHI 14B)7 |
| TABLE 2 | MIDDLE RAQUETTE RIVER IMPOUNDMENT FLUCTUATIONS |
| | |
| TABLE 3 | HIGLEY DEVELOPMENT IMPOUNDMENT FLUCTUATIONS |
| | |
| TABLE 4 | REQUIRED MINIMUM INSTREAM FLOWS AT THE MIDDLE RAQUETTE |
| | RIVER PROJECT |
| TABLE 5 | DOWNSTREAM FISH MOVEMENT AND PROTECTION MEASURES AT |
| | THE MIDDLE RAQUETTE RIVER PROJECT |
| | |
| TABLE 6 | PROJECT AREA LAND COVER AS CLASSIFIED BY THE NATIONAL LAND |
| | COVER DATABASE 2011 |
| TABLE 7 | RECREATIONAL FACILITIES AT THE MIDDLE RAQUETTE RIVER |
| | PROJECT |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE 1 | OVERVIEW MAP OF MIDDLE RAQUETTE HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT |
|----------|---|
| | FEATURES |
| FIGURE 2 | OVERVIEW MAP OF THE HIGLEY DEVELOPMENT WITH ZONES OF |
| | EFFECTS |
| FIGURE 3 | OVERVIEW MAP OF THE COLTON DEVELOPMENT WITH ZONES OF |
| | EFFECTS |
| FIGURE 4 | OVERVIEW MAP OF THE HANNAWA DEVELOPMENT WITH ZONES OF |
| | EFFECTS |
| FIGURE 5 | OVERVIEW MAP OF THE SUGAR ISLAND DEVELOPMENT WITH ZONES |
| | OF EFFECTS |
| FIGURE 6 | NEW YORK STATE DRAINAGE BASINS 46 |
| | |

LIST OF APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A: PROJECT ZOES, PHOTOS, & DRAWINGS
- APPENDIX B: AERIAL PHOTOS OF FACILITY AREA AND RIVER BASIN
- APPENDIX C: WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATE
- APPENDIX D: THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES CORRESPONDENCE

LOW-IMPACT HYDROPOWER POWER INSTITUTE CERTIFICATION APPLICATION

MIDDLE RAQUETTE HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT (FERC NO. 2320)

<u>1.0</u> FACILITY DESCRIPTION

The Middle Raquette River Hydroelectric Project (MRRP), Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) No. 2320, is owned and operated by Erie Boulevard Hydro, L.P. (Erie, or Brookfield) and is located along the Raquette River, beginning near Colton, New York. The four developments (Higley, Colton, Hannawa, and Sugar Island) are all located in an 9-mile reach of the river (RM 47 to RM 38) above its confluence with the St. Lawrence River. From its source in the Adirondack Mountains in New York, the Raquette River flows generally northwest and has a mainstem of 146 miles. The Raquette River drains an area that is approximately 1,253 square miles in size. The river is the source for numerous hydroelectric plants along its entire length.

<u>1.1</u> PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The MRRP consists of the following four developments on the Raquette River: Higley, Colton, Hannawa, and Sugar Island. The four developments have a total installed capacity of 48,323 kW.

Higley - The Higley Development includes a 34-foot-high dam with 3-foot-high flashboards, two flood gates, a trashrack, two waste gates; a 742-acre reservoir; a concrete intake structure equipped with four steel headgates; four 8-foot diameter buried steel penstocks convey water to the turbines having a length of 202 feet, 212 feet, 221 feet, and 230 feet long; and a powerhouse containing four generating units with a total capacity of 6,300 kW.

Colton - The Colton Development includes a 33-foot-high dam with 2-foot-high flashboards, a log flume, a trash gate, and a gated spillway; a 152-acre-reservoir; an 11,990-foot-long steel pipeline; three penstocks; and a powerhouse containing three generating units with a total capacity of 30,101 kW.

Hannawa - The Hannawa Development has a 40-foot-high dam with 3.5-foot-high flashboards, a log chute, a Taintor gate, and a sluice gate; a 204-acre reservoir; a 2,700-foot-long canal; two penstocks; and a powerhouse containing two generating units with a total capacity of 7,200 kW.

Sugar Island -The Sugar Island Development has a 37-foot-high dam with two Taintor gates; a 29-acre reservoir; an intake structure with trash racks and a headgate; a 4,700-foot-long steel pipeline; two penstocks; and a powerhouse containing two generating units with a total capacity of 4,700 kW.



FIGURE 1 OVERVIEW OF MIDDLE RAQUETTE HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT LOCATIONS

<u>1.2</u> PROJECT OPERATIONS

Operations are coordinated with Erie's other LIHI projects on the Raquette River. As described in the Raquette River Project Offer of Settlement (RRPSO), submitted to FERC on April 22, 1998 and the 2002 FERC license, the Project's most upstream development, Higley, operates as a re-regulating development to provide steadier flows for the downstream hydroelectric facilities.

Each of the developments below Higley are allowed to operate in a pulsing mode that limits the normal reservoir fluctuation at Colton and Hannawa to no more than 0.4 feet, and at Sugar Island to no more than 1.0 foot.

<u>1.3</u> REGULATORY AND COMPLIANCE HISTORY

Since issuance of the 2014 LIHI Certification for the Middle Raquette River Project, Erie was required to meet two conditions: (1) the facility owner shall develop a draft Deviation Reduction Plan (DRP) and submit it to LIHI no later than three months after LIHI certification of Project. The DRP should proactive operational control approaches for dam releases and pond level maintenance that will reduce the likelihood of operational deviations occurring in the future. The DRP needs to address the specific problems and potential recommendations identified in the reviewer's report. Options to be considered should include audible alarms in control centers and programmable logic controllers. The DRP shall describe options considered, those selected, and a schedule for implementation. LIHI staff will review and comment on the draft plan and be available to assist in the development of the DRP, if requested. The final DRP needs to be completed and agreed to by both [the licensee] and LIHI no later the six months after LIHI certification.; and (2) facility owner shall provide annual reports to LIHI documenting operational deviations from instream flow or pond levels that occurred throughout each year of certification. The report shall describe all deviations that have occurred, regardless of whether the deviations were planned or unintentional or whether they are eventually deemed as not violating the license by FERC. The report is due at the same time as the annual compliance statement and payment of the annual certification fee.

- To mitigate the future reoccurrence, The System Operator at the North American System Control Center developed a procedure for 3-way communication. The 3- way communication helps to ensure there is clear direction of actions to be taken and who will take those actions. The measures taken by the NASCC have resulted in no license violations of the Middle Raquette license since 2014.
- Regarding the second condition, Brookfield filed its 2018 compliance report July 2, 2018.¹

¹ <u>20190321-3130</u> (CEII eLibrary document)

<u>1.4</u> MIDDLE RAQUETTE FACILITY DESCRIPTION INFORMATION (LIHI CERTIFICATE #14B)

TABLE 1.FACILITY DESCRIPTION INFORMATION FOR MIDDLE RAQUETTE
HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT (LIHI #14b)

| Information Type | Variable Description | Response (and reference to further details) |
|--|--|---|
| Name of the FacilityFacility name (use FERC project name if possible) | | Middle Raquette Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 2320) referred to as the "MRRP" throughout this application. |
| | River name (USGS proper name) | Raquette River |
| | River basin name | Raquette River Drainage Basin |
| | Nearest town, county, and state | Colton, New York; Hannawa Falls, NY; and Potsdam, NY |
| | River mile of dam above next major river | The MRRP is located at RM 47 to RM 38 on the Raquette River. |
| Location | Geographic latitude | Higley (44.53053 N) Colton (44.55520 N) Hannawa (44.61185 N) Sugar Island (44.7433 N) |
| | Geographic longitude | Higley (-74.93198 W) Colton (-74.93935 W) Hannawa (-74.97466 N) Sugar Island (-75.0053 N) |
| | Application contact names: | Danny Maguire, Compliance Manager (Atlantic Operations, NY), 184 Elm Street, Potsdam, NY 13676 |
| Facility Owner | Facility owner (individual and company names) | Erie Boulevard Hydro, L.P, a subsidiary of Brookfield Renewable, 200 Donald Lynch Boulevard, Marlborough, MA 01752 |
| | Operating affiliate (if different from owner) | N/A |
| | Representative in LIHI certification | Jot Splenda Louis Berger - WSP 1001 Wade Ave # 400, Raleigh, NC 27605 |
| Regulatory Status | FERC Project Number, issuance and expiration dates | Project No. 2320 Issued: 2/01/2002 (31 years and 11 months) Expires: 12/31/2033 |

| | FERC license type or special classification | Major |
|--|---|---|
| | Water Quality Certificate identifier and issuance date, plus source agency name | A Water Quality Certificate (WQ-6-4099- 00007/0001) was issued by the New York Department of Environmental Conservation on October 13, 2006 (Appendix C). |
| | Hyperlinks to key electronic records on FERC e-library website | 2002 FERC License WOC |
| | (e.g., most recent Commission Orders, WQC, ESA documents, etc.) | |
| | Date of initial operation (past or future for operational applications) | The MRRP developments were constructed between in 1928. All four developments were updated (capacity) from 2006 through 2007. |
| | Total name-plate capacity (MW) | 48.3 MW |
| | Average annual generation (GWh) | 330.82 GWh |
| Power Plant Character- istics | Number, type, and size of turbines, including maximum and minimum hydraulic capacity of each unit | Higley (4 units, 6,100 kW, min capacity 1,800 cfs, max capacity 2,045 cfs) Generators (4) – Potencia S.A (1,750 kVA, 0.9 PF) Colton (3 units, 30,101 kW, min capacity 1,241 cfs, max capacity 1,503 cfs) Generators (3) – Allis (11,900 kVA, 0.8 PF), Chalmers (12,500 kVA, 0.8 PF), GE (12,500 kVA, 0.8 PF) Hannawa (2 units, 7,200 kW, min capacity 450 cfs, max capacity 1,440 cfs) Generators (2) – GE (4,500 kVA, 0.8 PF) Sugar Island (2 units, 4,700 kW, min capacity 900 cfs, max capacity 1,190 cfs) Generators (2) – GE (3,000 kVA, 0.8 PF) |
| | Modes of operation (run-of-river, peaking, pulsing, seasonal storage, etc.) Dates and types of major equipment | Higley: Re-regulating Colton: Pulsing Hannawa Pulsing Sugar Island: Pulsing On December 13, 2011, EBH notified FERC of its initial powerhouse rehabilitation construction for its |
| | upgrades | Hannawa Falls development. No changes were made to the powerhouse's turbines or generators. The final construction report was submitted to FERC on |

| | | October 22, 2013. |
|---|---|---|
| | | |
| | Dates, purpose, and type of any recent operational changes | No major operational changes have occurred at the Project. |
| | Plans, authorization, and regulatory activities for any facility upgrades | No major facility upgrades are planned in the near future. |
| | Date of construction | All four MRRP developments were built between 1911 to 1924. |
| Character- | Dam height | Higley: 34-feet-high Colton: 27-feet-high Hannawa 40-feet-high Sugar Island: 37-feet-high |
| istics of Dam, Diversion, or Conduit | Spillway length and elevation and | Higley (209-feet-long; crest elevation of 883.6 feet mean sea level (msl)) Colton (207.67-feet-long; crest elevation of 837.0 feet msl) Hannawa (215-feet-long; crest elevation of 552.0 feet msl) Sugar Island (192 feet-long; crest elevation of 470.0 feet msl) |

| Information Type | Variable Description | Response (and reference to further details) |
|---------------------|--|---|
| | Tailwater elevation Length and type of all penstocks and water conveyance structures between reservoir and powerhouse | Higley (847 feet msl) Colton (552.5 feet msl) Hannawa (522 feet msl) Sugar Island (406.7 feet msl) Higley: A 160-foot long by 50-foot wide flume formed by concrete retaining walls on each side; a 13- foot diameter, 225-foot long steel pipeline directly inflow to a new powerhouse. Four 8-foot diameter steel buried penstocks extend 200 to 230 feet from the intake to the new powerhouse. |
| | | Colton: A steel pipeline, 9,890-feet long with a diameter of 13.5-feet transitioning to a 2,100-feet long steel pipeline with a diameter of 12-feet; three penstocks of lengths 160-feet, 140-feet, and 125-feet, and diameters of 7.5-feet, 7.5-feet, and 9-feet respectively. |
| | | Hannawa: 2,700-foot long canal measuring 30-feet wide at the bottom, 120-feet wide at the top, with an average depth of 22-feet, equipped with trashracks that completely cover the canal entrance; two 10-foot |

| | | diameter penstocks 190-feet long |
|-------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | Sugar Island: A 4,700-foot long steel pipeline; Two 8- |
| | | foot-diameter penstocks |
| | Dates and types of major, | On December 13, 2011, EBH notified FERC of |
| | generation- related | its initial powerhouse rehabilitation construction |
| | infrastructure | for its Hannawa Falls development. No changes |
| | improvements | were made to the powerhouse's turbines or |
| | | generators. The final construction report was |
| | | submitted to FERC on October 22, 2013. |
| | Designated facility | The purpose of this facility is to generate power |
| | purposes | to be supplied to the local grid. |
| | | |
| | Water source | Raquette River |
| | Water discharge location | Water utilized by each MDDD discharges directly into |
| | or facility | the waters of the Raquette River directly below each |
| | or facility | development powerhouse. |
| | Usable volume | Higlev (4.400 acre-feet) |
| | | Colton (620 acre-feet) |
| | | Hannawa (690 acre-feet) |
| | | Sugar Island (55 acre-feet) |
| | | Higley (742 acres; 880.6 feet msl) |
| | 0 0 0 11 1 | Colton (152 acres; 837.0 feet msl) |
| | Surface area at full pool | Hannawa (552 acres; 552.0 feet msl) |
| | | Sugar Island (29 acres; 470.0 feet msl) |
| | Maximum water surface | See above. |
| | elevation (ft. MSL) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Normal maximum | Higley (4.400 acre-feet: 880.6 feet msl) |
| Characte- | volume and water surface | Colton (620 acre-feet; 837.0 feet msl) |
| ristics of | elevations for designated | Hannawa (690 acre-feet; 552.0 feet msl) |
| Reservoir | power pool, if available | Sugar Island (55 acre feet: 470.0 feet msl) |
| and Water also | | Unstream Dam: South Colton Dam (Unner |
| watersnea | | Raquette River Project) |
| | | Owner: Erie |
| | Upstream dam(s) by | FERC No.: 2060 |
| | name, ownership, | River Mile |
| | FERC number (if | (RM): 52 |
| | applicable), and river | Status: In |
| | mile | Service |
| | | |
| | | Downstream Dam: East and West Dams at Potsdam |

| | Operating agreements with upstream or downstream reservoirs that affect water availability, if any, and facility operation | (Potsdam Hydroelectric Project Owner: Village of Potsdam FERC No.: 2869 RM: 35 Status: In Service In accordance with FERC Lice Settlement Agreement, the Hig operates in a re-regulating mod infows for the downstream fac three developments all operate | ense Order, and the gley development de to provide steadier ilities. The remaining in a pulsing mode. |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|
| | Area inside FERC project boundary, where appropriate | 406.72 acres, approximately | |
| Hydrologic Setting | Average annual flow at the development dams (prorated for dam location)Average monthly flows of Raquette River at South Colton, NYUSGS Gage 04267500 | Higley (1,932 cfs) Colton (1,936 cfs) Hannawa (1,960 cfs) Sugar Island (1,962 cfs) Annual Monthly Mean for the period 1953 through 2018: | January $-1,730$ cfs February $-1,690$ cfs March $-2,110$ cfs April $-3,210$ cfs May $-3,260$ cfs June $-1,860$ cfs July $-1,350$ cfs August $-1,140$ cfs September $-1,110$ cfs October $-1,410$ cfs November $-1,580$ cfs December $-1,710$ cfs |

| Location and name of | USGS Gage 04267500 is located at: |
|---|--|
| relevant stream gauging stations above and | Lat 44°30'35.4", long 74°53'01.0", St. Lawrence |
| below the facility | route 56 bridge, approximately 3.5 miles upstream of the Higley Dam. |
| | |
| | USGS Gage 04268000 is located at: |
| | Lat 44°50'20", long 74°58'44", St. Lawrence County, |
| | upstream from bridge on Grant Road at |
| | Raymondville. |
| Watershed area at the | Higley (979 square miles) |
| dam | Colton (981 square miles) |
| | Hannawa (993 square miles) |
| | Sugar Island (994 square miles) |
| Number of zones of | Higley Development |
| effect (Upstream to | Impoundment ZOE |
| Downstream) | Bypass ZOE Downstroom ZOE |
| | |
| | Colton Development |
| | Impoundment ZOE |
| | Bypass ZOE |
| | Downstream ZOE |
| | Hannawa |
| | Development |
| | Impoundment ZOE |
| | Bypass ZOE |
| | Downstream ZOE |
| | Sugar Island |
| | Development |
| | Impoundment ZOE |
| | Downstream ZOE |
| | |
| | See Appendix A for a depiction of Project ZOEs. |

| | | 1 |
|------------|--|--|
| | | Higley Development |
| | Upstream and downstream locations by river miles | Zone 1 Impoundment ZOE: RM 52 (South Colton Powerhouse) to RM 47 (Higley Dam) |
| | | Zone 2 Bypass ZOE: RM 47 (Higley Dam) to RM 46.5 (Higley Powerhouse tailrace) |
| | | Zone 3 Downstream ZOE: RM 46.5 (Higley Powerhouse tailrace) to RM 45 (Colton Dam) |
| | | Colton Development |
| | | Zone 1 Impoundment ZOE: RM 46.5 (Higley Powerhouse tailrace) to RM 45 (Colton Dam) |
| | | Zone 2 Bypass ZOE: RM 45 (Colton Dam) to RM 42 (Colton powerhouse tailrace) |
| Designated | | Zone 3 Downstream ZOE: RM 42 (Colton Powerhouse tailrace) to RM 39 (Hannawa Dam) |
| Effect | | Hannawa Development |
| | | Zone 1 Impoundment ZOE: RM 42 (Colton Powerhouse tailrace) to RM 39 (Hannawa Dam) |
| | | Zone 2 Bypass ZOE: RM 39 (Hannawa Dam) to RM 38.5 (Hannawa powerhouse tailrace) |
| | | Zone 3 Downstream ZOE: RM 38.5 (Hannawa powerhouse tailrace) to RM 38 (Sugar Island Dam) |
| | | Sugar Island Development |
| | | Zone 1 Impoundment ZOE: RM 38.5 (Hannawa powerhouse tailrace) to RM 38 (Sugar Island Dam) |
| | | Zone 2 Bypass ZOE: RM 38 (Sugar Island Dam) to RM 37 (Sugar Island powerhouse tailrace) |
| | | Zone 3 Downstream ZOE: RM 37 (Sugar Island Powerhouse tailrace) to RM 35 (East and West Dams at Potsdam) |
| | | |

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Type of waterbody | According to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service |
| (river, impoundment, | (USFWS) National Wetlands Inventory ² , the |
| by-passed reach, etc.) | Impoundment ZOEs are classified as lake areas, |
| | the Bypass Reach ZOEs and downstream ZOEs |
| | are classified as a riverine areas. |
| | Higley Development |
| | |
| | Impoundment ZOE: RM 52 (South Colton Dam) to RM 47 (Higley Dam) |
| | Bypass ZOE and Downstream ZOE: RM 47 (Higley Dam) to RM 45 (Colton Dam) |
| | Colton Development |
| | Impoundment ZOE: RM 47 (Higley Dam) to RM 45 (Colton Dam) |
| | Bypass ZOE and Downstream ZOE: RM 45 (Colton Dam) to RM 39 (Hannawa Dam) |
| Delimiting structures | Hannawa Development |
| | Impoundment ZOE: RM 45 (Colton Dam) to RM 39 (Hannawa Dam) |
| | Bypass ZOE and Downstream ZOE: RM 39 (Hannawa Dam) to RM 38 (Sugar Island Dam) |
| | Sugar Island Development |
| | Impoundment ZOE: RM 39 (Hannawa Dam) to RM 38 (Sugar Island Dam) |
| | Bypass ZOE and Downstream ZOE: RM 38 (Sugar Island Dam) to RM 35 (East and West Dam at Potsdam) |
| Designated uses by state | New York Department of Environmental |
| water quality agency | Conservations designates waters in the Raquette River near the MRRP watershed as Class C fresh surface waters. |
| | Class C fresh surface waters of New York are |

² <u>https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/</u>

| | | managed to achieve and maintain a level of quality that fully supports the following designated uses: aquatic biota, wildlife, aquatic habitat, swimming and other primary contact recreation, boating, fishing, and other recreational uses. ³ |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Information Type | Variable Description | Response (and reference to further details) |
| Additional Contact Information | Names, addresses, phone numbers, and e- mail for local state and federal resource agencies Names, addresses, phone numbers, and e- mail for local non- governmental stakeholders | Please see section 4.0 for the Project Contacts Form Please see section 4.0 for the Project Contacts Form |
| Photograph s and Maps | Photographs of key features of the facility and each of the designated zones of effect | Please see Appendix A for photographs of key features of the facility and identification of each designated ZOE, and for project drawings. |
| s ana maps | Maps, aerial photos, and/or plan view diagrams of facility area and river basin | Please see Appendix B for aerial photos of facility area and river basin. |

2.0 STANDARDS MATRICES

2.1 Higley Development

IMPOUNDMENT ZOE

| | Critarian | Alternative Standards | | | | | | | |
|----|--|-----------------------|---|---|---|------|--|--|--|
| | Criterion | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Plus | | | |
| А. | Ecological Flow Regimes | X | | | | | | | |
| В. | Water Quality | | X | | | | | | |
| C. | Upstream Fish Passage | X | | | | | | | |
| D. | Downstream Fish Passage | | X | | | | | | |
| E. | Watershed and Shoreline Protection | | Χ | | | | | | |
| F. | Threatened and Endangered Species Protection | | X | | | | | | |
| G. | Cultural and Historic Resources Protection | | X | | | | | | |
| H. | Recreational Resources | | X | | | | | | |

³ https://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/23853.html

Bypass Reach ZOE

| | Critarian | Alternative Standards | | | | | | |
|----|--|-----------------------|---|---|---|------|--|--|
| | Criterion | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Plus | | |
| А. | Ecological Flow Regimes | | Χ | | | | | |
| B. | Water Quality | | X | | | | | |
| C. | Upstream Fish Passage | X | | | | | | |
| D. | Downstream Fish Passage | | X | | | | | |
| E. | Watershed and Shoreline Protection | X | | | | | | |
| F. | Threatened and Endangered Species Protection | | X | | | | | |
| G. | Cultural and Historic Resources Protection | | Χ | | | | | |
| H. | Recreational Resources | | X | | | | | |

Downstream ZOE

| | Critorian | Alternative Standards | | | | | | |
|----|---|-----------------------|---|---|---|------|--|--|
| | Criterion | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Plus | | |
| А. | Ecological Flow Regimes | X | | | | | | |
| B. | Water Quality | | Χ | | | | | |
| C. | Upstream Fish Passage | X | | | | | | |
| D. | Downstream Fish Passage | Χ | | | | | | |
| E. | Watershed and Shoreline Protection | X | | | | | | |
| F. | Threatened and Endangered Species Protection | | Χ | | | | | |
| G. | Cultural and Historic Resources Protection | | Χ | | | | | |
| Н. | Recreational Resources | | X | | | | | |

2.2 Colton Development

Impoundment ZOE

| | Critarian | Alternative Standards | | | | | | | |
|----|--|-----------------------|---|---|---|------|--|--|--|
| | Criterion | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Plus | | | |
| А. | Ecological Flow Regimes | Χ | | | | | | | |
| B. | Water Quality | | X | | | | | | |
| C. | Upstream Fish Passage | X | | | | | | | |
| D. | Downstream Fish Passage | | X | | | | | | |
| Е. | Watershed and Shoreline Protection | | Χ | | | | | | |
| F. | Threatened and Endangered Species Protection | | X | | | | | | |
| G. | Cultural and Historic Resources Protection | | X | | | | | | |
| Н. | Recreational Resources | | X | | | | | | |

Bypass Reach ZOE

| | Criterion | A | lterna | tive St | tandaı | rds |
|----|-------------------------|---|--------|---------|--------|------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Plus |
| A. | Ecological Flow Regimes | | X | | | |
| B. | Water Quality | | Χ | | | |

| | Cuitarian | A | lterna | tive St | tanda | rds |
|----|--|---|--------|---------|-------|------|
| | Criterion | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Plus |
| C. | Upstream Fish Passage | X | | | | |
| D. | Downstream Fish Passage | | X | | | |
| E. | Watershed and Shoreline Protection | X | | | | |
| F. | Threatened and Endangered Species Protection | | X | | | |
| G. | Cultural and Historic Resources Protection | | X | | | |
| H. | Recreational Resources | | X | | | |

Downstream ZOE

| | Critanian | Alternative Standards | | | | | | |
|----|--|-----------------------|---|---|---|------|--|--|
| | Criterion | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Plus | | |
| Α. | Ecological Flow Regimes | Χ | | | | | | |
| В. | Water Quality | | Χ | | | | | |
| C. | Upstream Fish Passage | X | | | | | | |
| D. | Downstream Fish Passage | X | | | | | | |
| E. | Watershed and Shoreline Protection | X | | | | | | |
| F. | Threatened and Endangered Species Protection | | Χ | | | | | |
| G. | Cultural and Historic Resources Protection | | Χ | | | | | |
| H. | Recreational Resources | | X | | | | | |

2.3 Hannawa Development

Impoundment ZOE

| | Critorian | Alternative Standards | | | | | | |
|----|--|-----------------------|---|---|---|------|--|--|
| | Criterion | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Plus | | |
| А. | Ecological Flow Regimes | Χ | | | | | | |
| B. | Water Quality | | X | | | | | |
| C. | Upstream Fish Passage | X | | | | | | |
| D. | Downstream Fish Passage | | Χ | | | | | |
| E. | Watershed and Shoreline Protection | | Χ | | | | | |
| F. | Threatened and Endangered Species Protection | | X | | | | | |
| G. | Cultural and Historic Resources Protection | | X | | | | | |
| H. | Recreational Resources | | X | | | | | |

Bypass Reach ZOE

| | Cuitarian | A | lterna | tive S | tanda | rds |
|----|--|---|--------|--------|-------|------|
| | Criterion | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Plus |
| А. | Ecological Flow Regimes | | X | | | |
| В. | Water Quality | | X | | | |
| C. | Upstream Fish Passage | X | | | | |
| D. | Downstream Fish Passage | | Χ | | | |
| E. | Watershed and Shoreline Protection | X | | | | |
| F. | Threatened and Endangered Species Protection | | X | | | |

| G. | Cultural and Historic Resources Protection | Χ | | |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| H. | Recreational Resources | Х | | |

Downstream ZOE

| | Crittorior | A | lterna | tive S | tanda | rds |
|----|--|---|--------|--------|-------|------|
| | Criterion | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Plus |
| Α. | Ecological Flow Regimes | Χ | | | | |
| B. | Water Quality | | X | | | |
| C. | Upstream Fish Passage | X | | | | |
| D. | Downstream Fish Passage | X | | | | |
| E. | Watershed and Shoreline Protection | X | | | | |
| F. | Threatened and Endangered Species Protection | | X | | | |
| G. | Cultural and Historic Resources Protection | | X | | | |
| H. | Recreational Resources | | X | | | |

2.4 Sugar Island Development

Impoundment ZOE

| Cuitouian | | Alternative Standards | | | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|---|---|---|------|
| | Criterion | | 2 | 3 | 4 | Plus |
| Α. | Ecological Flow Regimes | Χ | | | | |
| B. | Water Quality | | X | | | |
| C. | Upstream Fish Passage | X | | | | |
| D. | Downstream Fish Passage | | Χ | | | |
| E. | Watershed and Shoreline Protection | | Χ | | | |
| F. | Threatened and Endangered Species Protection | | X | | | |
| G. | Cultural and Historic Resources Protection | | X | | | |
| H. | Recreational Resources | | X | | | |

Bypass Reach ZOE

| | | Alternative Standards | | | | |
|----|--|-----------------------|---|---|---|------|
| | Criterion | | 2 | 3 | 4 | Plus |
| А. | Ecological Flow Regimes | | X | | | |
| В. | Water Quality | | X | | | |
| C. | Upstream Fish Passage | X | | | | |
| D. | Downstream Fish Passage | | Χ | | | |
| E. | Watershed and Shoreline Protection | X | | | | |
| F. | Threatened and Endangered Species Protection | | X | | | |
| G. | Cultural and Historic Resources Protection | | X | | | |
| H. | Recreational Resources | | Χ | | | |

Downstream ZOE

| Critarian | | | Alternative Standards | | | |
|-----------|--|---|-----------------------|---|---|------|
| | Criterion | | 2 | 3 | 4 | Plus |
| А. | Ecological Flow Regimes | Χ | | | | |
| B. | Water Quality | | X | | | |
| C. | Upstream Fish Passage | X | | | | |
| D. | Downstream Fish Passage | X | | | | |
| E. | Watershed and Shoreline Protection | X | | | | |
| F. | Threatened and Endangered Species Protection | | X | | | |
| G. | Cultural and Historic Resources Protection | | X | | | |
| H. | Recreational Resources | | X | | | |

3.0 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

3.1 – Ecological Flow

ı.

Impoundment ZoEs – Colton Development, Hannawa Development, and Sugar Island Development

| Criterion | Standard | |
|----------------|---------------|--|
| Criterion A | Standard 1 | <u>Not Applicable / De Minimis Effect</u>: Confirm the location of the powerhouse relative to other dam/diversion structures to establish that there are no bypassed reaches at the facility. If Run-of-River operation, provide details on how flows, water levels, and operation are monitored to ensure such an |
| | | are monitored to ensure such an operational mode is maintained. In a conduit project, identify the water source and discharge points for the conduit system within which the hydropower plant is located. |
| | | • For impoundment zones only, explain how fish and wildlife habitat within the zone is evaluated and managed – NOTE: this is required information, but it will not be used to determine whether the Ecological Flows criterion has been satisfied. All impoundment zones can apply Criterion A-1 to pass this criterion. |

• In accordance with Article 403 of the FERC License and section 4.0 of the 1998 Settlement Agreement, Brookfield Renewable (Brookfield) is required to limit fluctuations within the impoundments of the four developments of the Middle Raquette River Hydroelectric Project as defined in Table 2.

| Development | Permanent Crest of | Height of | Normal |
|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | Dam (ft. USGS) | Flashboards | Impoundment |
| | | | Fluctuation |
| Higley | 880.6 | 3.0 foot trippable | (see table 3) |
| | | wooden flashboards | |
| Colton | 835.0 | 2.0 foot pneumatic | 0.4 feet |
| | | flashboards | |
| Hannawa | 548.5 | 3.5 foot trippable | 0.4 feet |
| | | wooden flashboards | |
| Sugar Island | 470.0 | none | 1.0 feet |

TABLE 2. MIDDLE RAQUETTE RIVER IMPOUNDMENT FLUCTUATIONS.

- Whenever an excursion occurs, Brookfield Renewable (Brookfield) notifies FERC, USFWS, and NYSDEC as soon as possible, but no later than 10 days after each such incident.
- Brookfield submitted its final streamflow and water level monitoring plan, pursuant to License Article 402 and section 10.5 of the Settlement Agreement, to FERC on September 6, 2002⁴ with a supplemental filing on August 28, 2008⁵. <u>FERC approved</u> the streamflow and water level monitoring plan on October 30, 2008⁶.
- Since license issuance, there have been three⁷ impoundment drawdown events that FERC considered violations of the Middle Raquette Project License.
- The Middle Raquette River Hydroelectric Project has been certified for a third term of low impact certification, effective July 9, 2014, with the following two conditions: (1) *the facility owner shall develop a draft Deviation Reduction Plan (DRP) and submit it to LIHI no later than three months after LIHI certification of Project. The DRP should proactive operational control approaches for dam releases and pond level maintenance that will reduce the likelihood of operational deviations occurring in the future. The DRP needs to address the specific problems and potential recommendations identified in the reviewer's report. Options to be considered should include audible alarms in control centers and programmable logic controllers. The DRP shall describe options considered, those selected, and a schedule for implementation. LIHI staff will review and comment on the draft plan and be available to assist in the development of the DRP, if requested. The final DRP needs to be completed and agreed to by both [the licensee] and LIHI no later the six months after LIHI certification.; and (2) facility owner shall provide annual reports to LIHI documenting operational deviations from instream flow or pond levels that occurred throughout each year of certification. The report shall*

⁴ <u>20020909-0257</u>

⁵ 20080904-0498

⁶ 20081030-3021

⁷ <u>20130801-3042</u>, <u>20131112-3000</u>, <u>20150114-3000</u>

describe all deviations that have occurred, regardless of whether the deviations were planned or unintentional or whether they are eventually deemed as not violating the license by FERC. The report is due at the same time as the annual compliance statement and payment of the annual certification fee.

- An investigation of the 2014 Hannawa pond level excursion event revealed that the cause was a miscommunication between the System Operator at the National System Control Center (NSCC) and the Traveling Operator in the field. To mitigate the future reoccurrence the NSCC developed a procedure for 3-way communication. The 3- way communication helps to ensure there is clear direction of actions to be taken and who will take those actions. Additionally, the NSCC provides orientation training to new System Operators in which past deviations are reviewed (including the 2014 Hannawa pond elevation violation) as a means to emphasize the importance of operating within FERC license parameters. The measures taken by the NSCC have resulted in no license violations of the Middle Raquette license since 2014.
- Regarding the second condition, Brookfield filed its 2018 compliance report July 2, 2018.

| Criterion | Standard | |
|-----------|---------------|--|
| A | Standard 2 | <u>Agency Recommendation</u>: Identify the proceeding and source, date, and specifics of the agency recommendation applied (NOTE: there may be more than one; identify and explain which is most environmentally protective). Explain the scientific or technical basis for the agency recommendation, including methods and data used. This is required regardless of whether the recommendation is or is not part of a Settlement Agreement. |
| | | is or is not part of a Settlement Agreement. Explain how the recommendation relates to agency management goals and |
| | | objectives for fish and wildlife. |
| | | • Explain now the recommendation provides fish and wildlife protection, mitigation and enhancement (including in- stream flows, ramping and peaking rate conditions, and seasonal and episodic instream flow variations). |

Impoundment ZoE – Higley Development

• In accordance with Article 403 of the FERC License and section 4.0 of the 1998 Settlement Agreement, Brookfield Renewable (Brookfield) is required to limit fluctuations within the impoundments of the Higley development of the Middle Raquette River Hydroelectric Project as defined in Table 3.

| Time of Year | Day of Week | Target Elevation (ft. USGS) |
|---------------------|-------------------|---|
| Memorial Day | 10:00 pm Friday | By 10:00 pm on Friday - |
| Weekend | through 6:00 am | impoundment to be at, or near, top |
| through Labor Day | Monday | of flashboards (elevation 883.6). |
| Weekend | | Over the course of the weekend - |
| | | utilize a 2.0 foot drawdown. By |
| | | 6:00 am Monday - impoundment |
| | | at, or near, 2.0 feet below top of |
| | | flashboards (elevation 881.6) |
| Memorial Day | 6:00 am Monday to | 2.5 foot impoundment fluctuation |
| Weekend | rough 10:00 pm | utilized as needed to facilitate reregulation |
| through Labor Day | Friday | (elevation 883.6 to |
| Weekend | | 881.1). |
| End of Labor Day | All days | 2.5 foot impoundment fluctuation |
| Weekend to Start of | | utilized as needed to facilitate reregulation |
| Memorial Day | | (elevation 883.6 to |
| Weekend | | 881.1). |

TABLE 3. HIGLEY DEVELOPMENT IMPOUNDMENT FLUCTUATIONS.

- Whenever an excursion occurs, Brookfield Renewable (Brookfield) notifies FERC, USFWS, and NYSDEC as soon as possible, but no later than 10 days after each such incident.
- As mentioned previously, Brookfield submitted its final streamflow and water level monitoring plan, pursuant to License Article 402 and section 10.5 of the Settlement Agreement, to FERC on September 6, 2002⁸ with a supplemental filing on August 28, 2008⁹. FERC approved the streamflow and water level monitoring plan on October 30, 2008¹⁰.
- As mentioned previously, there have been a few pond level excursions since license issuance; however, since the measures taken by the NSCC have resulted in no license violations of the Middle Raquette license since 2014.

⁸ <u>20020909-0257</u>

⁹ 20080904-0498

¹⁰ 20081030-3021

| Dypass 201 | <u>B – All Developillel</u> | |
|------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Criterion | Standard | |
| A | 2 | <u>Agency Recommendation</u>: Identify the proceeding and source, date, and specifics of the agency recommendation applied (NOTE: there may be more than one; identify and explain which is most environmentally protective). Explain the scientific or technical basis for the agency recommendation, including methods and data used. This is required regardless of whether the recommendation is or is not part of a Settlement Agreement. Explain how the recommendation relates to agency management goals and objectives for fish and wildlife. Explain how the recommendation provides fish and wildlife protection, mitigation and enhancement (including in-stream flows, ramping and peaking rate conditions, and seasonal and episodic instream flow variations). |

Bypass ZoE – All Developments

- In accordance with Article 402 of the FERC License and section 3.3.3 of the Settlement Agreement, Brookfield is not required to provide a minimum instream flow in the bypass reach at the Higley Development. The signators to the Settlement Agreement concluded that due to the shortness and backwatered nature of the bypass reach, an instream flow for aquatic purposes was not needed.
- However, in accordance with section 6.0 of the Settlement Agreement, Brookfield is required provide a 20 cfs release for the purpose of providing a route of downstream movement of fish at the Higley Development. The route of downstream movement is through the stoplog section of the dam located between the intake canal and spillway.
- Brookfield is required to maintain the instream flow regimes listed in table 4 in the bypasses reaches of the Colton, Hannawa, and Sugar Island Developments.

| KAQUETTE RI | VER PROJECT. | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Flow Magnitude | Annual Start Date | Annual End Date | | | |
| Colton Development | | | | | |
| 110 cfs (100 - 120) | January 1 | Start of Walleye | | | |
| | | Spawning Season ¹¹ | | | |
| 240 cfs with spring | Start of Walleye | End of Walleye | | | |
| spillage | Spawning Season | Spawning Season ¹² | | | |
| (216 - 264) | | | | | |
| 200 cfs without spring | | | | | |
| spillage | | | | | |
| (180 - 220) | | | | | |
| 200 cfs (180 - 220) | End of Walleye | June 30 | | | |
| | Spawning Season | | | | |
| 125 cfs (113 - 138) | July 1 | August 15 | | | |
| 90 cfs (81 - 99) | August 16 | September 15 | | | |
| 125 cfs (113 - 138) | September 16 | October 31 | | | |
| 110 cfs (100 - 120) | November 1 | December 31 | | | |
| Hannawa Development | | | | | |
| 50 cfs (48 - 52) | January 1 | Start of Walleye | | | |
| | | Spawning Season | | | |
| 90 cfs (87 - 93) | Start of Walleye | June 30 | | | |
| | Spawning Season | | | | |
| 65 cfs (63 - 67) | July 1 | October 31 | | | |
| 50 cfs (48 - 52) | October 31 | December 31 | | | |
| Sugar Island Development | | | | | |
| 300 cfs (282 - 318) | January 1 | Start of Walleye | | | |
| | | Spawning Season | | | |
| 400 cfs (376 - 424) | Start of Walleye | June 30 | | | |
| | Spawning Season | | | | |
| 300 cfs (282 - 318) | July 1 | December 31 | | | |

TABLE 4.REQUIRED MINIMUM INSTREAM FLOWS AT THE MIDDLE
RAQUETTE RIVER PROJECT.

• According to the Settlement Agreement, The nearly 3 mile long Colton bypass reach is the longest and one of the most complex bypass reaches on the Raquette River (and in New York). The management goal is to recreate a complete riverine ecosystem within the bypass reach. The instream flow schedule reflects this level of complexity through the variations over the course of the year, which are intended to follow natural hydrologic trends. The signators concluded that this flow schedule shall be provided to enhance and/or protect the recovery of a riverine ecosystem within the bypass reach,

¹¹ Walleye spawning season will start when water temperature at South Colton [Upper Raquette Project No. 2084] reaches 4 degrees Celsius (39.2 degrees F) for 4 consecutive days after March 15 of each year.

¹² Walleye spawning season will end 30 days after water temperature at South Colton has reached 10 degrees Celsius (50 degrees F) for 4 consecutive days.

forage fish and benthic invertebrate production, fish movement, wetland and riparian resources, aesthetics and safety, fish spawning and incubation, and water quality. The individual seasonal flows balance all of these concerns and address the varying habitats and recreational uses in the upper, middle, and lower sections of the reach.

- For the Hannawa Development, flow levels reflect variations over the course of the year and are intended to follow natural hydrologic trends. The signators concluded that this flow schedule shall be provided to enhance and/or protect forage fish and benthic invertebrate production, fish movement, wetland and riparian resources, aesthetics, and safety.
- For the Sugar Island Development, the bypass reach is characterized by numerous braided channels, bars, islands, and rock gardens. The primary objective is to recreate a complete riverine ecosystem within the bypass reach. Due to the numerous channels and the tendency for the water to spread out, the signators concluded that this bypass reach warrants an instream flow significantly greater than those provided on the remainder of the river. This flow schedule shall be provided to enhance and/or protect forage fish and benthic invertebrate production, fish movement, seasonal brook trout habitat, and to provide recreational opportunities.
- According to the Settlement Agreement, the flows are generally of sufficient volume to serve as attractant flows to help guide the fish to the release structure. Each site has been specifically examined by biologists and engineers to determine the most feasible fish movement route. Factors considered were proximity to the trashracks, use of existing facilities, adequate plunge pools and conveyance to downriver areas, and engineering cost and feasibility. The location has been chosen to maximize the attraction flow and the ability of the fish to locate the movement route while minimally disrupting project operations.
- As at the Higley Development, Brookfield is required to provide downstream fish passage flows at the Colton, Hannawa, and Sugar Island Developments as follows; (1) Colton at least 20 cfs via a rehabilitated trash sluice structure; (2) Hannawa 50 cfs via instream flow release structure; and (3) Sugar Island 300 cfs via instream flow release structure.

| Downstream | LOE – All Developine | |
|------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Criterion | Standard | |
| А | 1 | Not Applicable / De Minimis Effect: |
| | | Confirm the location of the powerhouse relative to other dam/diversion structures to establish that there are no bypassed reaches at the facility. If Run-of-River operation, provide details on how flows, water levels, and operation are monitored to ensure such an operational mode is maintained. In a conduit project, identify the water source and discharge points for the conduit system within which the hydropower plant is located. For impoundment zones only, explain how fish and wildlife habitat within the zone is evaluated and managed – NOTE: this is required information, but it will not be used to determine whether the Ecological Flows criterion has been satisfied. All impoundment zones can apply Criterion A-1 to pass this criterion. |

Downstream ZoE – All Developments

• In accordance with FERC License Order, and the Settlement Agreement, the Higley development operates in a re-regulating mode to provide steadier inflows for the downstream facilities. The remaining three developments all operate in a pulsing mode.

3.2 – Water Quality

| Criterion | Standard | |
|-----------|----------|---|
| B | 2 | <u>Agency Recommendation</u>: If facility is located on a Water Quality Limited river reach, provide a link to the state's most recent impaired waters list and indicate the page(s) therein that apply to facility waters. If possible, provide an agency letter stating that the facility is not a cause of such limitation. Provide a copy of the most recent Water Quality Certificate and any subsequent amendments, including the date(s) of issuance. If more than 10 years old, provide documentation that the certification terms and conditions remain valid and in effect for the facility (e.g., a letter from the agency). Identify any other agency recommendations related to water quality and explain their scientific or technical basis. Describe all compliance activities related to water quality and any agency recommendations for the facility, including on-going monitoring, and how those are integrated into facility operations |

All ZoEs – All Developments

- The Middle Raquette River is not listed in the NYS's 2016 final Section 303(d) list of impaired waterbodies¹³. However, the Lower Raquette River and minor tributaries are listed as being impaired due to pathogens potentially from on-site water treatment systems.
- License Article 304 and Section B of the NYDEC 401 Water Quality Certification¹⁴ requires Brookfield to adhere to several provision that protect water quality during project maintenance and construction activities.
- Prior to commencing activities which could adversely affect water quality, the certificate holder must receive Department approval of an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan. This plan must be submitted at least 60 days before the intended date for commencing work. Actions undertaken in response to an emergency and governed by the procedures contained in 6 NYCRR Section 621.12 are exempt from this condition.

¹³ https://www.dec.ny.gov/docs/water_pdf/303dListfinal2016.pdf

¹⁴ <u>20020926--0378</u>

- During all periods of construction, the certificate holder will monitor the waters of the river at a point immediately upstream of project activities and at a point no more than 100 feet downstream from any discharge point or other potential source of turbidity. If at any time, turbidity measurements from the downstream locations exceed the measurements from the locations upstream of the work areas, certificate holder specifically agrees to immediately take all action necessary to identify the activities causing the turbidity and to correct the situation.
- A review of project filings on FERC's elibrary indicates that the licensee is in compliance with its water quality-related requirements. Furthermore, Brookfield notifies FERC of any planned drawdowns for construction and maintenance activities.

3.3 – Upstream Fish Passage

The fishery in the Middle Raquette reach is composed of a diverse group of game fish and panfish. NYSDEC manages the Raquette River in the section between Raymondville and South Colton as a mixed coolwater/warmwater fisheries resource. The more important game and panfish are walleye, smallmouth bass, northern pike, yellow perch, rock bass, pumpkinseed, and brown bullhead. White sucker and fallfish also have been found in all of the reservoirs. Surveys have shown that essentially the same assemblage of fish species exist in all Middle Raquette River Project reservoirs. During the Settlement discussions, FWS indicated that the only federally listed or proposed endangered or threatened species existing within all project boundaries is the transient bald eagle.

| Criterion | Standard | |
|-----------|----------|---|
| С | 1 | <u>Not Applicable / De Minimis Effect</u>: Explain why the facility does not impose a barrier to upstream fish passage in the designated zone. Typically, impoundment zones will qualify for this standard since once above a dam and in an impoundment, there is no facility barrier to further upstream movement. Document available fish distribution data and the lack of migratory fish species in the vicinity. If migratory fish species have been extirpated from the area, explain why the facility is or was not the cause of this |

All ZoEs – All Developments

• FERC License Article 403 reserves the Commission's authority to require the Licensee to construct, operate, and maintain, or to provide for the construction, operation, and maintenance of, fishways as may be prescribed by the Secretary of the Interior under Section 18 of the Federal Power Act.

• FERC concluded in its final EA on the Raquette Projects that because there are no anadromous fish species in this reach of the Raquette River anadromous fish passage is not a concern. Provisions for upstream fish passage were not recommended at the time of the EA.

3.4 Downstream Fish Passage and Protection Impoundment and Bypass ZoEs – All Developments

• Section 6.0 of the Settlement Agreement required Brookfield to provide the following downstream fish movement and protection measures at the four developments of the Middle Raquette River Hydroelectric Project (table 5).

| TABLE 5. | DOWNSTREAM FISH MOVEMENT AND PROTECTION MEASURES |
|----------|--|
| | AT THE MIDDLE RAQUETTE RIVER PROJECT. |

| Development | Protection Measure | Primary Route of | Conveyance and Collection |
|-------------|--|---|---|
| | | Downstream Fish | System |
| | | Movement | - |
| Higley | 1-inch clear spacing physical barrier installed at the location of the existing trashrack structure. | 20 cfs via stoplog section located between intake canal and spillway | (1) Roughness reduction of spillway face. (2) Measures to reduce dispersion of the release across spillway face. (3) Release structure empties into a pool of adequate dimensions. No additional modifications required. |
| Colton | 1-inch clear spacing | At least 20 cfs via | At the time of rehabilitation |
| | physical barrier | rehabilitated trash | of intake structure licensee |
| | installed | sluice structure | shall retrofit trash sluice |

| Development | Protection Measure | Primary Route of Downstream Fish Movement | Conveyance and Collection System |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| | at the location of the existing trashrack structure. | | return channel to accommodate fish safe conveyance and collection |
| Hannawa | 1-inch clear spacing physical barrier installed at the location of the existing trashrack structure at the upstream end of the power canal | 50 cfs via instream flow release structure | (1) Roughness reduction of spillway face (2) Measures to reduce dispersion of the release across spillway face. (3) Construct plunge pool |
| Sugar Island | None | 300 cfs via instream flow release structure | Instream flow release structure empties into a pool of adequate dimensions. No additional modifications required. |

- Due to the small size of the Sugar Island impoundment and the location of the instream flow release structure (downstream of the existing trashracks), the signators to the Settlement Agreement concluded that fish protection was not required at the Sugar Island Development. The goal is to facilitate movement of adult fish, via a tap off the pipeline, from the relatively small impoundment to the downstream reach which will be receiving the largest minimum flows of any bypass reach on the river.
- The flows are generally of sufficient volume to serve as attractant flows to help guide the fish to the release structure. Each site has been specifically examined by biologists and engineers to determine the most feasible fish movement route. Factors considered were proximity to the trashracks, use of existing facilities, adequate plunge pools and conveyance to downriver areas, and engineering cost and feasibility. The locations have been chosen to maximize the attraction flow and the ability of the fish to locate the movement route while minimally disrupting project operations.
- The 1-inch clear bar spacing physical barriers were installed on the trashracks by the end of 2011.

Downstream ZoEs – All Developments

| Criterion | Standard | |
|-----------|----------|--|
| D | | Not Applicable/ De Minimis Effect: Explain why the facility does not impose a barrier to upstream fish passage in the designated zone. Typically, impoundment zones will qualify for this standard since once above a dam and in an impoundment, there is no facility barrier to further upstream movement. Document available fish distribution data and the lack of migratory fish species in the vicinity. If migratory fish species have been extirpated from the area, explain why the facility is or was not the cause of this. |

• There are no facilities in the downstream ZoE that act as a barrier to downstream fish passage. Once fish cross over the LRRP dams with use of the downstream passage facilities and through the bypass reaches, the fish do not have any further impediments to passage through the downstream ZOE.

| Criterion | Standard | |
|-----------|----------|--|
| E | 2 | <u>Agency Recommendation</u>: Provide copies or links to any agency recommendations or management plans that are in effect related to protection, mitigation, or enhancement of shoreline surrounding the facility (e.g., Shoreline Management Plans). Provide documentation that indicates the facility is in full compliance with any agency recommendations or management plans that are in effect. |

3.5 – Shoreline and Watershed Protection Impoundment ZoE – All Developments

• In accordance with Article 403 of the FERC License and section 4.0 of the 1998 Settlement Agreement, Brookfield Renewable (Brookfield) is required to limit fluctuations within the impoundments of the four developments of the Middle Raquette River Hydroelectric Project as defined in Table 1. • Brookfield submitted its final streamflow and water level monitoring plan, pursuant to License Article 402 and section 10.5 of the Settlement Agreement, to FERC on September 6, 2002 with a supplemental filing on August 28, 2008. FERC approved the streamflow and water level monitoring plan on October 30, 2008.

| Criterion | Standard | |
|-----------|----------|--|
| E | 1 | Not Applicable/ De Minimis Effect: |
| | | If there are no lands with significant ecological value associated with the facility, document and justify this (e.g., describe the land use and land cover within the FERC project or facility boundary). Document that there have been no Shoreline Management Plans or similar protection requirements for the facility. |

Bypass and Downstream ZoEs – All Developments

- Land around the Middle Raquette River Project is largely rural, forested and the area that is dependent on forestry, some agriculture, wood products, and tourism. Historically, the river has been developed for water power for sawmills, paper mills, tanneries, and other industry.
- Land cover units with nonsignificant ecological value identified within the vicinity of the Project can be found in Table 6 (based on National Land Cover Database 2011)¹⁵.
- There are no requirements for a buffer zone, shoreline protection fund or shoreline management plan for the Middle Raquette River Project.

| _ | NATIONAL LAND COVER DATABASE 2011. | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--|
| | Class/Value | Classification Description | |
| | 11 | Open Water- areas of open water, generally with less than | |
| | | 25% cover of vegetation or soil. | |
| | 21 | Developed, Open Space- areas with a mixture of some | |
| | | constructed materials, but mostly vegetation in the form of | |
| | | lawn grasses. Impervious surfaces account for less than 20% of | |
| | | total cover. These areas most commonly include large-lot | |
| | | single-family housing units, parks, golf courses, and vegetation | |
| | | planted in developed settings for recreation, erosion control, or | |
| | | aesthetic purposes. | |
| | 22 | Developed, Low Intensity- areas with a mixture of | |
| | | constructed materials and vegetation. Impervious surfaces | |
| | | account for 20% to 49% percent of total cover. These areas | |

TABLE 6.PROJECT AREA LAND COVER AND CLASSIFIED BY THE
NATIONAL LAND COVER DATABASE 2011.

¹⁵ <u>https://www.mrlc.gov/viewer/</u>

| Class/Value | Classification Description | |
|-------------|--|--|
| | most commonly include single-family housing units. | |
| 41 | Deciduous Forest - areas dominated by trees generally | |
| | greater than 5 meters tall, and greater than 20% of total | |
| | vegetation cover. More than 75% of the tree species shed | |
| | foliage simultaneously in response to seasonal change. | |
| 42 | Evergreen Forest- areas dominated by trees generally | |
| | greater than 5 meters tall, and greater than 20% of total | |
| | vegetation cover. More than 75% of the tree species | |
| | maintain their leaves all year. Canopy is never without | |
| | green foliage. | |
| 43 | Mixed Forest- areas dominated by trees generally greater than | |
| | 5 meters tall, and greater than 20% of total vegetation cover. | |
| | Neither deciduous nor evergreen species are greater than 75% | |
| | of total tree cover. | |
| 81 | Pasture/Hay-areas of grasses, legumes, or grass-legume | |
| | mixtures planted for livestock grazing or the production of | |
| | seed or hay crops, typically on a perennial cycle. | |
| | Pasture/hay vegetation accounts for greater than 20% of total | |
| | vegetation. | |
| 90 | Woody Wetlands- areas where forest or shrubland vegetation | |
| | accounts for greater than 20% of vegetative cover and the soil | |
| | or substrate is periodically saturated with or covered with | |
| 05 | water. | |
| 95 | Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands- Areas where perennial | |
| | herbaceous vegetation accounts for greater than 80% of | |
| | vegetative cover and the soil or substrate is periodically | |
| 1 | saturated with or covered with water. | |

3.6 – Threatened and Endangered Species Protection All ZoEs –All Developments

| Criterion | Standard | |
|-----------|----------|---|
| F | 2 | <u>Finding of No Negative Effects:</u> Identify all listed species in the facility area based on current data from the appropriate state and federal natural resource management agencies. Provide documentation of a finding of no negative effect of the facility on any listed species in the area from an appropriate natural resource management agency. |
| | | |

- A U.S. Fish and Wildlife Information for Planning and Conservation (IPaC) Trust Resources Report was generated April 6, 2019 for the MRRP area (Appendix D). The IPaC Report identified one threatened species, the Northern Long-eared Bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*), and nine migratory birds protected by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act.
- No critical habitat has been designated for the Northern Long-eared Bat.
- All of the following birds are listed as Birds of Conservation Concern: Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*); Black-billed Cuckoo (*Coccyzus* erythropthalmus); Bobolink (*Dolichonyx oryzivorus*); Cape May Warbler (*Setophaga* tigrina); Eastern Whip-poorwill (*Antrostomus vociferous*); Evening Grosbeak (*Coccothraustes vespertinus*); Golden-winged Warbler (*Vermivora chrysoptera*); Rusty Blackbird (*Euphagus carolinus*); and Wood Thrush (*Hylocichla mustelina*). The only year-round bird found in the LRRP area is the Bald Eagle. All the other eight species are found exclusively during breeding season.
- The Bald Eagle is a state-endangered species listed under the protection of the New York Endangered Species Law¹⁶. The Northern Long-eared Bat is listed as state-threatened.
- Signators to the Settlement Agreement agreed that the continued operation of the MRRP would not affect federal or state-listed threatened or endangered species.

| AII ZOES – AI | 1 Developments | |
|---------------|----------------|---|
| Criterion | Standard | |
| G | 2 | <u>Approved Plan</u>: Provide documentation of all approved state, federal, and recognized tribal plans for the protection, enhancement, and mitigation of impacts to cultural and historic resources affected by the facility. Document that the facility is in compliance with all such plans |
| | | |

3.7 – Cultural and Historic Resource Protection

 Article 405 of the FERC License Order required the Licensee to implement the Programmatic Agreement. Brookfield submitted the final HPMP on April 15, 2003¹⁷, and an Order Approving Historic Properties Management Plans was issued by FERC on September 28, 2004¹⁸. The purpose of the HPMP is to establish procedures and guidelines for the management of historic properties expected within the Middle Raquette River Project's Area of Potential Effect (APE). A summary of the guidelines established by the HPMP for each facility is presented below.

¹⁶ <u>https://www.dec.ny.gov/animals/7494.html</u>

¹⁷ 20030430-0218 (Privileged eLibrary document)

¹⁸ <u>108 FERC ¶ 62,276</u>

- Establishes a process for identifying the nature and significant of historic properties that may be affected by project maintenance and operation, proposed improvements to project facilities, and/or public access;
- Establishes a decision-making process for considering potential effects on historic properties;
- Defines goals for the preservation of historic properties;
- Establishes guidelines for routine maintenance and operation activities as they relate to historic properties; and
- Establishes procedures for consulting with the New York State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), Indian Tribes, historic preservation experts, and the interested public concerning effects of the Projects on historic properties
- There is one identified archeological site within the Middle Raquette River Project's APE. The foundation of an early tanning factory is located just downstream of the Colton dam between the bypassed reach and the Stone Valley hiking trail. An interpretive sign provides information on the importance of the tannery, which operated from 1856 to 1898, to the local economy. The construction dates of the four developments of the Middle Raquette River Project range from Higley in 1911 to Sugar Island in 1924. NMPC conducted a survey of all hydroelectric development in New York State (Hay, 1991). Based on this survey, the SHPO provided an opinion that the Higley plant meets the criteria A and C for listing in the National Register (letter from Julia S. Stokes, Deputy Commission for Historic Preservation, NYSOPRHP, Albany, New York, to Jerry Sabattis, Relicensing Coordinator, NMPC, Syracuse, New York, dated May 1, 1991). The SHPO states that in her opinion the Higley plant meets the National Register criteria because it is an intact representative example of a generating facility containing two original turbine generator units, which illustrates the operation of a small hydropower facility built during an important period in the development of electrical engineering in the region and the United States.
- The Licensee files annual Cultural Resources Management Reports with FERC. The most recent annual report was filed with FERC on February 1, 2019¹⁹

| All ZoEs – Al | l Development | |
|---------------|---------------|--|
| Criterion | Standard | |
| | | |

¹⁹ <u>20190201-5043</u>

| Η | 2 | <u>Not Applicable / De Minimis Effect</u>: Document any comprehensive resource agency recommendations and enforceable recreation plan that is in place for recreational access or accommodations. Document that the facility is in compliance with all such recommendations and plans. |
|---|---|--|
|---|---|--|

- Article 404 of the FERC License Order and Section 7.0 of the Settlement Agreement required the Licensee to develop and implement several recreational enhancement measures as well as a plan to implement and manage recreational resources in the project area.
- The licensee filed its final Recreation Plan on April 10, 2004²⁰, which FERC approved on November 17, 2004²¹.
- Table 7 defines the existing recreational facilities at the time of approval, and the required new facilities.

| Development | Existing Facilities | New Facilities |
|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Higley | Boat launch with parking area | Canoe Portage |
| | Picnic facilities | Implementation Date: 2006 |
| Colton | Stone Valley Hiking Trail | Canoe Portage |
| | System (cooperative) | Car-top boat launch with |
| | Car-top boat launch with | overnight parking |
| | parking area | Whitewater Access |
| | | Implementation Date: 2004 |
| Hannawa | None | Canoe Portage |
| | | Red Sandstone Trail – |
| | | Southern Terminus |
| | | Scenic overlook and picnic |
| | | facilities |
| | | Whitewater access |
| | | Roadside parking |
| | | Implementation Date: 2004 |
| Sugar Island | None | Canoe Portage |
| | | Day-use area |
| | | Red Sandstone Trail – |
| | | Northern Terminus |

Table 7.Recreational facilities at the Middle Raquette River Project.

²⁰ <u>20030414-0143</u>

²¹ 20041117-3026
| Development | Existing Facilities | g Facilities New Facilities | |
|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | | Whitewater access | |
| | | Implementation Date: 2004 | |

- Article 404 requires consultation with the NYSDEC for the placement of directional signage and with the members of the Raquette River Advisory Committee while preparing the plan. The licensee sent draft copies of the plan to those agencies as well as the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). The NYSDEC and the USFWS both commented that the content of the draft plan adequately addresses the Raquette River Settlement Agreement. The Laurentian Chapter of the Adirondack Mountain Club (ADKLC), North Country Raquette River Advocates (NCRRA), Adirondack Mountain Club (ADK), and the Jordan Club all responded with numerous comments and the licensee incorporated most of the comments into the final plan.
- Whitewater activities of the Middle Raquette River Project are managed by a Whitewater Subcommittee of the Raquette River Advisory Committee. At a minimum, the Whitewater Subcommittee consists of Brookfield, NYSDEC, Adirondack Mountain Club, a representative of local boater interests and a representative of local government. Any member of the Raquette River Advisory Committee may elect to participate on the WS each year or only during specific years.
- The Whitewater Subcommittee is charged with the responsibility of developing a whitewater release schedule and meets at least once by February 1st of the year to determine how to schedule and allocate the whitewater budget among the Colton, Hannawa, and Sugar Island Developments.
- The whitewater season runs from July 1 through September 30 of the year. The approximate peak whitewater flows are: 1250-cfs at Colton, 800-cfs at Hannawa and 1,500-cfs at Sugar Island. Ramping flows are an hourly doubling of the instream flows.
- The licensee is in compliance with access, accommodations and facility conditions of the FERC license and the Offer of Settlement. The most recent FERC environmental and public use inspection reports note that the licensee appears to be in compliance with its requirements with regard to recreation resources.

4.0 CONTACTS FORMS

| Project Owner: | | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| Name and Title | Erie Boulevard Hydropower, L.P. | | |
| Company | Brookfield Renewable | | |
| Phone | 315-267-1036 | | |
| Email Address | Danny.Maguire@brookfieldrenewable.com | | |
| Mailing | 184 Elm Street, Potsdam, NY 13676 | | |
| Address | | | |
| Consulting Firm / Age | ent for LIHI Program (if different from above): | | |
| Name and Title | Jot Splenda | | |
| Company | Louis Berger/WSP | | |
| Phone | (919) 866-4417 | | |
| Email Address | jsplenda@louisberger.com | | |
| Mailing | 1001 Wade Ave; Suite 400; Raleigh, NC 27615 | | |
| Address | | | |
| Compliance Contact (| responsible for LIHI Program requirements): | | |
| Name and Title | Daniel J. Maguire, P.E., Compliance Manager | | |
| Company | Brookfield Renewable | | |
| Phone | 315-267-1036 | | |
| Email Address | Danny.Maguire@brookfieldrenewable.com | | |
| Mailing | 184 Elm Street, Potsdam, NY 13676 | | |
| Address | | | |
| Party responsible for a | accounts payable: | | |
| Name and Title | Judith Charette | | |
| Company | Brookfield Renewable | | |
| Phone | 819-561-8099 | | |
| Email Address | Judith.Charette@brookfieldrenewab | | |
| | le.com | | |
| Mailing | 41 Rue Victoria, Gatineau, QC J8X 2A1 | | |
| Address | | | |

| Agency Contact (Check area of responsibility: Flows_X_, Water Quality _X_, Fish/Wildlife | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Resources _X_, Watersheds, T/E Spp, Cultural/Historic Resources, Recreation | | | | |
| _X_): | | | | |
| Agency Name | New York State Department of Environmental Conservation | | | |
| Name and Title | Jessica Hart, Environmental Analyst | | | |
| Phone | 315-785-2246 | | | |
| Email address | Jessica.hart@dec.ny.gov | | | |
| Mailing Address | 317 Washington Street, Watertown, NY 13601 | | | |

| Agency Contact (Check area of responsibility: Flows_, Water Quality _, Fish/Wildlife | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Resources, Wat | Resources, Watersheds, T/E SppX_, Cultural/Historic Resources, Recreation | | | |
|): | | | | |
| Agency Name | New York State Department of Environmental Conservation | | | |
| Name and Title | Nicholas Conrad, Information Resources Coordinator | | | |
| Phone | 518-402-8935 | | | |
| Email address | Nick.Conrad@dec.ny.gov | | | |
| Mailing Address | 625 Broadway, Albany, NY 12233-4757 | | | |

| Agency Contact (Check area of responsibility: Flows_, Water Quality _, Fish/Wildlife | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Resources, Watersheds, T/E SppX_, Cultural/Historic Resources, Recreation | | | | |
| | | | | |
| U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | | | | |
| Robyn Niver, Endangered Species Biologist | | | | |
| 607-753-9334 | | | | |
| Robyn_Niver@fws.gov | | | | |
| 3817 Luker Road, Cortland, NY 13045 | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Agency Contact (Check area of responsibility: Flows_X_, Water Quality _X_, Fish/Wildlife | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Resources X_, W | Resources X_, Watersheds, T/E Spp. X_, Cultural/Historic Resources, Recreation | | | |
|) | | | | |
| Agency Name | U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | | | |
| Name and Title | Steve Patch | | | |
| Phone | 607-753-9334 | | | |
| Email address | Stephen_Patch@fws.gov | | | |
| Mailing Address | 3817 Luker Road, Cortland, NY 13045 | | | |

| Agency Contact (Check area of responsibility: Flows_, Water Quality _, Fish/Wildlife | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Resources, Watersheds, T/E Spp, Cultural/Historic Resources _X_, Recreation): | | | |
| Agency Name | New York State Division for Historic Preservation | | |
| Name and Title | Michael Lynch, Division Director | | |
| Phone | 518-237-8643 | | |
| Email address | Michael.Lynch@parks.ny.gov | | |
| Mailing Address | Peebles Island State Park, P.O. Box 189, Waterford, NY 12188-0189 | | |

5.0 SWORN STATEMENT

B.3 Sworn Statement and Waiver Form

All applications for LIHI Certification must include the following sworn statement before they can be reviewed by LIHI:

SWORN STATEMENT

As an Authorized Representative of Erie Boulevard Hydro, L.P. _____, the Undersigned attests that the material presented in the application is true and complete.

The Undersigned acknowledges that the primary goal of the Law Impact Hydropower Institute's certification program is public benefit, and that the LIHI Governing Board and its agents are not responsible for financial or other private consequences of its certification decisions.

The Undersigned further acknowledges thot if LIHI Certification of the applying facility is granted, the LIHI Certification Mark License Agreement must be executed prior to marketing the electricity product as LIHI Certified®.

The Undersigned further agrees to hold the Low Impact Hydropower Institute, the Governing Board and its agents harmless for any decision rendered on this or other applications, fram any consequences of disclosing or publishing any submitted certification application materials to the public, or on any other oction pursuant to the Low Impact Hydropower Institute's certification program.

PLEASE INSERT FOR PRE-OPERATIONAL CERTIFICATIONS (see Section 4.5.3):

The Undersigned acknowledges that LIHI may suspend or revoke the LIHI Certification should the impacts of the focility, once operational, fail to comply with the LIHI program requirements.

Company Name: Erie Boulevard Hydro, L.P, a subsidiary of Brookfield Renewable Energy Group

Authorized Representative:

| Name: | Daniel Maguire | P.E. |
|-------|----------------|------|
|-------|----------------|------|

| Title: Compliance Manager | |
|---------------------------|--|
|---------------------------|--|

Authorized Signature: <u>M/M</u> Date: <u>5/28/26/9</u>

APPENDIX A

PROJECT ZOES, PHOTOS, & DRAWINGS



Figure 2. Overview Map of the Higley Development with Zones of Effects



Figure 3. Overview Map of the Colton Development with Zones of Effects



Figure 4. Overview Map of the Hannawa Development with Zones of Effects



Figure 5. Overview Map of the Sugar Island Development with Zones of Effects



Colton Dam

Colton Dam and Intake



Colton Bypass Pipeline



Colton Generators



Hannawa Dam

Hannawa Powerhouse and Penstocks



Hannawa Power Canal

Hannawa Powerhouse



Higley Dam



Higley Powerhouse



Higley Intake



Higley Generators



Sugar Island Dam and Penstock



Sugar Island Powerhouse



Sugar Island Gates and Intake



Sugar Island Generator

APPENDIX B

AERIAL PHOTOS OF FACILITY AREA AND RIVER BASIN



FIGURE 6: New York State Drainage Basins

APPENDIX C

WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATE

Unofficial FERC-Generated PDF of 20020926-0378 Received by FERC OSEC 09/25/2002 in Docket#: P-2616-000

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

Division of Environmental Permits, 4th Floor 625 Broadway, Albany, New York 12233-1750 Phone: (518) 402-9167 • FAX: (518) 402-9168 Website: www.dec.state.ny.us

.



September 19, 2002

Mr Jerry Sabattis Hydro Licensing Coordinator Reliant Energy 225 Greenfield Parkway, Suite 201 Liverpool, NY 13088

.

Re: Hoosic River Section 401 State Water Quality Certification, FERC Project # 2616, DECID# 4-3842-00109/00001

Dear Mr Sabattis:

Please find enclosed the Hoosic River Section 401 State Water Quality Certification, DEC Permit # 4-3842-00109/00001 issued to Erie Boulevard Power L.L.C. for the construction and operation of the Hoosic River Hydropower Project in Rensselaer County.

This permit contains significant conditions. If these conditions are unacceptable, you have the right to request a adjudicatory hearing within 30 days of this letter.

If you have any questions, or need further information please don't hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Kent P. Sanders **Environmental Analyst**

cc: List Attached

•

| × | MENTAL CONSERVATION | NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONM | NEW YORK ST | 86c R3 | 20-6(10/90)-21 |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| September 19, 2002 | | ۵ | | DEC PERMIT NUMBER | |
| EXPRATION TAY 12001 EXPRATION DATE Coincident with the aspiration date of the licen- leaved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) for FERC Project P-2816 | PERMIT Under the Environmental Conservation Law (ECL) | | Under th | 4-3842-00109/00001 FACILITY/PROGRAM NUMBER(#) | |
|] | Xanata | Bouns) | oplicable Bouss) | TYPE OF PERMIT (Chuck All App | [|
| Article 27. Title 9: 6NY/CRR 373: | | Article 17 Titles 7 8 | | A New Li Hangest | |
| Hazardous Waste Management | L | SPDES | | utection of Water | Pro |
| Article 34: Coastal Erosion Management | | Article 19: Air Pollution Control | | ticle 15, Title 15: ater Supply | Art Wa |
| Article 36: Floodplain Management | | Article 23, Title 27: Mined Land Reclamation | | ticle 15, Title 15: ater Transport | |
| Articles 1, 3, 17, 19, 27, 37; 6NYCRF 380: Radiation Control | | Article 24: Freshwater Wetlands | | ticle 15, Title 15: ng Island Wells | Art |
| Other | | Article 25: Tidal Wetlands | Rivers | ticle 15, Title 27: ild, Scenic & Recreational R | |
| | RR 360: K, | Article 27, Title 7; 6NYCRR Solid Waste Management | | YCRR 608: ater Quality Certification | X Wa |
| TELEPHONE NUMBER | 10 | | | JED Y | PERMIT ISSU |
| (315) 413-2700 | - 10 | <u>*</u> - | ; | levard Power L. L. C | Erie Bou |
| | | 1. Liverpool, New York 13088 | te 201, Liverpoo | enfield Parkway, Suite | 225 Gree |
| TELEPHONE NUMBER | | | | ERSON FOR PERMITTED WORK | CONTACT PE |
| (315) 413-2790 | ice | cencing & Regulatory Compliance | fro Licencing & I | shey, Manager - Hydro | Sam Hin |
| s FERC Project # P-2616 | a Impoundments | Schaghticoke and Johnsonville | oject, Schaghtic | DORESS OF PROJECT#ACILITY River Hydropower Proj # PROJECT#ACILITY | HOOSIC F |
| | inty. | d Johnsonville, Rensselaer Coun | e and Johnsony | River at Schaghticoke | Hoosic I |
| E:621. N 4750.00 E:621 736 N: 4753.023 | Ver | coke Hoosic Rive | ghticoke | laer Schag | Renssel |
| coke and Johnso ar Offer of Settle | Inty. EMETLAND NO. Ver Ject at Schaghtico D02 Hoosic River | a Hoosic River Hydropower Proje cable provisions of the June, 200 | e and Johnson aghticoke of the Hoosic Ri applicable prov | River at Schaghticoke laer Town Schag M of AUTHORIZED ACTIVITY on and Maintenance of accordance with the a d conditions. | Hoosic I county Renssele Descretion Operation River in a attached |

.

By acceptance of this permit, the permittee agrees that the permit is contingent upon strict compliance with the ECL, all applicable regulations, the General Conditions specified (See Page 2) and any Special Conditions included as part of this permit.

| PERMIT ADMINISTRATOR William R. Adriance | NYSDEC Div. of En | vir. Permits, 4ª Floor, | 625 Broadwa | ay, Albany, NY 12233-1750 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Willerim K. | Alvina | ° 9/. | 19/02 | Page 1 of 5 |
| V III MAN | | | 1 | |

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

NOTIFICATION OF OTHER PERMITTEE OBLIGATIONS

Item A: Permittee Accepts Legal Responsibility and Agrees to Indemnification

The permittee expressly agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the Department of Environmental Conservation of the State of New York, its representatives, employees, and agents ("DEC") for all claims, suits, actions, and damages, to the extent attributable to the permittee's acts or omissions in connection with the permittee's undertaking of activities in connection with, or operation and maintenance of, the facility or facilities authorized by the permit whether in compliance or not in compliance with the terms and conditions of the permit. This indemnification does not extend to any claims, suits, actions, or damages to the extent attributable to DEC's own negligent or intentional acts or omissions, or to any claims, suits, or actions naming the DEC and arising under article 78 of the New York Civil Practice Laws and Rules or any citizen suit or civil rights provision under federal or state laws.

Item B: Permittee's Contractors to Comply with Permit

The permittee is responsible for informing its independent contractors, employees, agents and assigns of their responsibility to comply with this permit, including all special conditions while acting as the permittee's agent with respect to the permitted activities, and such persons shall be subject to the same sanctions for violations of the Environmental Conservation Law as those prescribed for the permittee.

Item C: Permittee Responsible for Obtaining Other Required Permits

The permittee is responsible for obtaining any other permits, approvals, lands, easements and rights-of-way that may be required to carry out the activities that are authorized by this permit.

Item D: No Right to Trespass or Interfere with Riparian Rights

This permit does not convey to the permittee any right to trespass upon the lands or interfere with the riparian rights of others in order to perform the permitted work nor does it authorize the impairment of any rights, title, or interest in real or personal property held or vested in a person not a party to the permit.

GENERAL CONDITIONS

General Condition 1: Facility Inspection by the Department

The permitted site or facility, including relevant records, is subject to inspection at reasonable hours and intervals by an authorized representative of the Department of Environmental Conservation (the Department) to determine whether the permittee is complying with this permit and the ECL. Such representative may order the work suspended pursuant to ECL 71-0301 and SAPA 401(3).

The permittee shall provide a person to accompany the Department's representative during an inspection to the permit area when requested by the Department.

A copy of this permit, including all referenced maps, drawings and special conditions, must be available for inspection by the Department at all times at the project site or facility. Failure to produce a copy of the permit upon request by a Department representative is a violation of this permit.

General Condition 2: Relationship of this Permit to Other Department Orders and Determinations

Unless expressly provided for by the Department, issuance of this permit does not modify, supersede or rescind any order or determination previously issued by the Department or any of the terms, conditions or requirements contained in such order or determination.

General Condition 3: Applications for Permit Renewals or Modifications

The permittee must submit a separate written application to the Department for renewal, modification or transfer of this permit. Such application must include any forms or supplemental information the Department requires. Any renewal, modification or transfer granted by the Department must be in writing.

The permittee must submit a renewal application at least:

 a) 180 days before expiration of permits for State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES), Hazardous Waste Management Facilities (HWMF), major Air Pollution Control (APC) and Solid Waste Management Facilities (SWMF); and

b) 30 days before expiration of all other permit types.

Submission of applications for permit renewal or modification are to be submitted to:

NYSDEC Chief Permit Administrator,

625 Broadway, Albany NY 12233-1750, Telephone (518) 402-9167

General Condition 4: Permit Modifications, Suspensions and Revocations by the Department

The Department reserves the right to modify, suspend or revoke this permit in accordance with 6 NYCRR Fart 621. The grounds for modification, suspension or revocation include:

- a) materially false or inaccurate statements in the permit application or supporting papers;
 - b) failure by the permittee to comply with any terms or conditions of the permit;
 - c) exceeding the scope of the project as described in the permit application;
 - newly discovered material information or a material change in environmental conditions, relevant technology or applicable law or regulations since the issuance of the existing permit;
 - e) noncompliance with previously issued permit conditions, orders of the commissioner, any provisions of the Environmental Conservation Law or regulations of the Department related to the permitted activity.

| | DEC PERMIT NUMBER | 4-3842-00109/00001 | | PAGE _2_ OF _5_ |
|--|----------------------|--------------------|--|-----------------|
|--|----------------------|--------------------|--|-----------------|

.

0.00

| | Water Quality Certification | |
|---|---|---|
| Certification | | |
| 1. The New York State Department of | f Environmental Conservation (Departme | ent) hereby certifies: |
| the Department has reviewed t (hereafter referred to as "the A submitted in support of the app Commission (FERC) in August | he certificate holders' Application for Feo pplication") and all other available pertine lication and the Offer of Settlement filed 2002. | feral Hydroelectric License ent information, including studies with the Federal Energy Regulatory |
| the Project will comply with Set as amended and as implement regulatory requirements set for | ctions 301, 302, 303, 306, and 307 of the led by the limitations, standards and crite th in 6NYCRR Section 608.9(a); and | Federal Water Pollution Control Act ria of the state statutory and |
| the Project will comply with app thermal discharge criteria set for | blicable New York State effluent limitation orth in 6NYCRR Parts 700-706. | ns, water quality standards and |
| This Water Quality Certification is issu Control Act (33 USC 1341). | ued solely for the purposes of Section 40 | 1 of the Federal Water Pollution |
| CONTACTS: Except as otherwise sp addressed to: | ecified, all contact with the Department c | concerning this certificate shall be |
| New York State Department of Enviro Chief Permit Administrator 625 Broadway Albany, NY 12233-1750 | onmental Conservation | |
| Written submissions to the Departme must be sent to the Region 4 Permit | nt must include five (5) complete copies Administrator, NYSDEC, 1150 Westcott f | of the submission. One (1) copy Rd, Schenectady, NY 12306-2014. |
| | SPECIAL CONDITIONS | |
| A. ADMINISTRATION | | |
| This certificate includes and incor dated June, 2002. | porates the Hoosic River "Offer of Settle | ment" (Settlement) |
| Inspections: The Project, including upon reasonable notice to the ce determine whether the applicant Hoosic River Offer of Settlement and special conditions, must be a Project. | ng relevant records, is subject to inspection intificate holder, by an authorized represe is complying with this certification. A cop at dated June, 2002 and the FERC licens available for inspection by the Department | on at reasonable hours and intervals entative of the Department to by of this certification, including the e, including all maps, drawings, int during such inspections at the |
| 3. <u>Emergencies:</u> With the exception procedures shall apply to activitie | n of emergency provisions described in the sconducted at the Project in response to | he Settlement, the following o an emergency. |
| Prior to commencement of emer- to grant emergency authorization such that prior notice to the DEC 24 hours of commencement of the determination whether to grant e telegram, or other written form of followed within 3 weeks by submis | gency activities, the NYS DEC must be n i. If circumstances require that emergence is not possible, then the DEC must be n be emergency activities and be provided mergency authorization. In either case, n communication, including fax and electro ssion of the following information: | otified and must determine whether cy activities be taken immediately otified by the certificate holder within sufficient information to make a otification must be by certified mail, nic mail. This notification must be |
| | | |

.

.

| | SPECIAL CONDITIONS |
|-----|--|
| | (continued) |
| | 1) a description of the action; |
| | location map and plan of the proposed action; |
| | 3) reasons why the situation is an emergency |
| | All notifications, requests for emergency authorizations and information submitted to support such request shall be sent to the Chief Permit Administrator at the address listed above. |
| 4. | Modifications and Revocations: The DEC reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke this certificate whether the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the reserves the right to modify suspend or revoke the r |
| | 1) the scope of the certified activity is exceeded or a violation of any condition of this certificate or |
| | provision of the ECL and pertinent regulation is found; |
| | the certificate was obtained by misrepresentation or failure to disclose relevant facts; |
| | new material information is discovered; |
| | 4) environmental conditions, relevant technology, or applicable law or regulation have materially |
| | changed since the certificate was issued. |
| B. | OPERATING CONDITIONS |
| 5. | Instream Flows: The certificate holder shall maintain instream flows in accordance with the |
| | Settlement, in particular, Section 3.2. |
| 6. | Flow Monitoring: The certificate holder shall develop a stream flow and water level monitoring plan |
| | consistent with the Settlement in particular Section 3.3. |
| 7. | Impoundment Fluctuations; The Schaghticoke and Johnsonville reservoirs (Project reservoirs) shall be |
| | operated in accordance with the Settlement (see subsection 3.1). Alternate impoundment |
| | operating plans must be reviewed and approved by NYS DEC prior to being implemented. |
| | Emergencies shall be dealt with in accordance with special conditions #3 of this certificate. |
| 8. | Fish Protection, Passage and Movement: Fish protection passage and movement |
| | provisions shall be provided in accordance with the Settlement (see Section 3.4). |
| C. | Project MAINTENANCE AND CONSTRUCTION |
| - | Note: All matters pertaining to "Project Maintenance and Construction" shall be addressed to: |
| | Chief Permit Administrator |
| | New York State Department of Environmental Conservation |
| | 625 Broadway |
| | Albany, NY 12233 |
| 9. | Maintenance Dredging; The certificate holder shall install and maintain appropriate turbidity control |
| | structures while conducting any maintenance dredging activities in the intake/forebay area of the Project. |
| 10. | Sediment Analysis and Disposal: The certificate holder must sample any sediments to be disturbed |
| | or removed from the Project waters and test them for contaminants. Sampling and testing shall be accomplished according to a protocol submitted to and approved by the Department prior to sampling. |
| | Prior to dredging or other excavation, the certificate holder must secure Department approval for |
| | all disposal or interim holding locations for any sediments to be removed from the Project waters. |
| 11. | Erosion and Sediment Control: The certificate holder shall ensure that the following erosion and |
| | sediment/contaminant control measures, at a minimum, are adhered to during routine maintenance |
| | and construction (including maintenance dredging) that may result in sediments/contaminants |
| | and a the Destant second and a Manufactor Diversion |

DEC PERMIT NUMBER PAGE 4_OF _5_

4

•

| | NEW YOS | RK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | SPECIAL CONDITIONS | | | | | | |
| 1. | (continued) Isolate in-stream work from the flow of water and prevent discolored (turbid) discharges and sediments caused by excavation, dewatering and construction activities from entering the wat of the Hoosic River. | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Prohibit heavy construction equipment from operating below the mean high water level of Pro reservoirs and the Hoosic River until the work area is protected by a watertight structure and dewatered | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Minimize soil disturbance, grade so as to prevent or minimize erosion and provide temporary and/or permanent stabilization of all disturbed areas and stockpiles to minimize the potential for erosion and subsequent sedimentation within Project reservoirs or the Hoosie River. | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Protect all waters from contamination by deleterious materials such as wet concrete, gasoline solvents, epoxy resins or other materials used in construction, maintenance and operation of the Project. | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Install and maintain eroded material from must be installed be control structures m | erosion control structures on the down slopes of all disturbed areas to prevent n entering Project reservoirs or the Hoosic River. Erosion control structures efore commencing any activities involving soil disturbance and all erosion ust be maintained in a fully functional condition. | | | | | | |
| 6. | Ensure complete removal of all dredged/excavated material and construction debris from the and banks of Project reservoirs and the Hoosic River in the vicinity of the Project. | | | | | | | |
| 7. | Ensure that all temporary fill and other materials placed in the waters of the river are completely removed, immediately upon completion of construction, unless otherwise directed by the Department. | | | | | | | |
| 12. <u>Placen</u> structu <u>Reserv</u> | nent of cofferdams, cor res which encroach up oirs: The design of all s | nstruction of temporary access roads or ramps, or other temporary on the bed or banks of the Hoosic River or Project such structures must be approved by the Department prior to installation. | | | | | | |
| 13. <u>River f</u> continu provisi | <u>Flow</u> : During any period Jously maintain adequations of this certificate. | t of maintenance and/or construction activity, the certificate holder shall te flows immediately downstream of work sites consistent with the | | | | | | |
| 14. <u>Constr</u> level o refill, tl | uction Drawdowns: Wh f Project reservoirs be ne water level of the im | nenever construction and/or maintenance activities require that the water lowered, it shall not be drawn down more than 1 foot per hour. During poundment shall not be allowed to rise more than 1 foot per hour. | | | | | | |
| 15. <u>Turbid</u> Projec upstr certifi locati cease | ity Monitoring: During r treservoirs, the certific eam of the work area a icate holder specifically ons exceed the measu e until the source of the | naintenance or construction-related activities in or near the Hoosic River or cate holder will monitor the turbidity of Project waters at a point immediately ind at a point no more than 100 feet downstream from the work area. The ragrees that if, at any time, turbidity measurements from the downstream rements from the upstream locations, all related construction on the Project will e turbidity is discovered and the situation is corrected. | | | | | | |
| 16. <u>Notific</u> comm | ations: The Chief Perm encing any Project mai | nit Administrator must be notified in writing at least two weeks prior to ntenance or construction work performed under the authority of this certificate. | | | | | | |
| D. PUBL | C ACCESS AND REC | REATION | | | | | | |
| 16. Public | access and recreation | al opportunities shall be provided in conformance with the Settlement. | | | | | | |
| cc: List At | tached | | | | | | | |
| | | DAGES OF S | | | | | | |

.

Betty Lou Bailey, Chairman Adirondack Mountain Club Canoe Route Subcommittee 4029 Georgetown Square Schenectady, New York 12303-5300

.

Neil F. Woodworth, Counsel & Deputy Ex. Director Adirondack Mountain Club 301 Hamilton St Albany, New York 12210-1707

Andrew Fahlund, Director of Hydropower Dev. American Rivers 1025 Vermont Ave NW Washington, DC 20005-3516 John T. Gangemi, Conservation Director American Whitewater 482 Electric Ave Bigfork, Mt. 59911-3641

Town Clerk Town of Cambridge Cobble Road Cambridge, NY 12816 Jacob S. Niziol, Coordinator Reliant Energy 225 Greenfield Parkway, Suite 201 Liverpool, NY 13088-6656

James A. Bessha Fourth Branch Assoc (Mechanicville) 455 New Karner Road Albany, NY 12205-3821

.

.

.

.

Office of the Mayor Village of Hoosick Falls PO Box 247 Hoosick Falls, NY 12090-0247

Jerry L. Sabattis, Hydro Licensing Coordinator Reliant Energy 225 Greenfield Parkway, Suite 201 Liverpool, NY 13088-6656 Mitchell F. Hertz, Esquire Kirkland & Ellis 655 15th St NW, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20005-55701 Samuel S. Hirschey P.E., Manager Reliant Energy Erie Boulevard Hydropower, L.P. 225 Greenfield Parkway, Suite 201 Liverpool, NY 13088-6656

.

W, Thaddeus Miller Reliant Energy. 7 E. Redwood St., Floor 10 Baltimore, Md 21202-1115

Frances E. Francis, Esquire Spiegel & Diarmid 1350 New York Ave NW, Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005-4710 Town Clerk Town of Hoosick 56 Church Street Hoosick Falls, NY 12090-1644

Office of the Mayor Town of Mechanicville 36 North Main Street Mechanicville, NY 12118-1985 David J. Miller National Audubon Society 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003-9536

.

.

.

.

Richard Roos-Collins, Director of Litigation Natural Heritage Institute 2140 Shattuck Ave, Floor 5 Berkeley, Ca 94704-1210 Janet Hand Deixler, Commissioner New York Public Service Commission 3 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223-1000

.

.

Bruce .R. Carpenter, Executive Director Rivers United PO Box 1460 Rome, NY 13442-1460 Director New York Sea Grant Institute SUNY Dutchess Hall Stony Brook, NY 11794-0001 .

Carol A. Howland New York State Electric & Gas Corp. PO Box 5224 Binghamton, NY 13902-5224 John J. Gosek, Mayor City of Oswego Office of the Mayor, City Hall 11 West Oneida Street Oswego, NY 13126

Town Clerk Town of Pittstown R.D. #1 Valley Falls, NY 12185 John D. Draghi Law Offices of Huber Lawrence & Abell 605 3rd Ave, Floor 27 New York, NY 10158-2799

Paul V. Nolan 5515 17th Street Arlington, Va 22205-2722 Raymond W. Coonrad Rensselaer County Conservation Alliance 3119 6th Avenue Troy, NY 12180-1202

.

Leon F. Szeptycki, Environmental Counsel Trout Unlimited 1500 Wilson Blvd., Suite 310 Arlington, Va 22209-2404

.

Office of the Mayor City of Troy 1 Monument Square Troy, New York 12180-0824

Leonard P. Corin, Field Supervisor. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service 3817 Luker Road Cortland, NY 13045-9385 Kevin Mendick U.S. National Park Service North Atlantic Region 15 State Street Boston, Ma 02109-3502 .

Anthony R. Conte US Dept. of the Interior Suite 300 70 Commercial Street Concord, NH 03301-5031 Thomas Matias Trout Unlimited 37 Douglas Road Delmar, NY 12054-3125

Lydia T. Grimm US Dept. of the Interior Office of the Solicitor 1849 C Street NW, MS 6456 Washington, DC 20240-0001 Office of the Mayor Village of Valley Falls PO Box 157 Valley Falls, NY 12185-0157

Bill Jolly Washington State Parks & Recreation Commission PO Box 42668 Olympia, Wa 98504-2668 Judith M. Stolfo US Dept. of the Interior 1 Gateway Center, Suite 612 Newton, Ma 02458-2881

F. Michael Tucker Mercer Companies Inc Three E-Comm 2 Albany, NY 12207-2981 William J. Madden Jr., Esquire Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street NW Washington, DC 20005-3509

County Clerk County of Washington Washington County Office Building Upper Broadway Fort Edward, NY 12828 John Costello Office of Hydropower Licensing Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20426 Deborah Osborne Office of Dispute Resolution Federal Energy Regulatory commission 888 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20426

.*

Thomas DeWitt Office of Hydropower Licensing Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20426

.

•

.

Honorable Magalie R. Salas Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20426

.

From: Hogan, Chris M (DEC) <<u>chris.hogan@dec.ny.gov</u>> Sent: Wednesday, August 14, 2019 2:01 PM To: Zehr, Jason <<u>Jason.Zehr@brookfieldrenewable.com</u>> Cc: VanMaaren, Chris C (DEC) <<u>chris.vanmaaren@dec.ny.gov</u>> Subject: Brookfield WQCs

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize content is safe. Please report suspicious emails here

ATTENTION: Ce courriel provient d'une source externe, ne cliquez pas sur les liens et n'ouvrez pas les pièces jointes, à moins que vous en reconnaissiez la source. Veuillez nous aviser ici de tout courriel suspect.

Jason – Chris VanMaaren forwarded me your email requesting that the NYSDEC confirm that the Section 401 Water Quality Certificates (WQC) for the Brookfield Renewable facilities listed below are still in effect.

Lower Raquette River (P-2330) – WQC effective date of October 2006 Middle Raquette River (P-2320) – WQC effective date of October 2006 School Street (P-2539) – WQC effective date of October 2006 Hoosic River (P-2616) – WQC effective date of September 2002

This email serves to confirm that the WQCs for the above reference facilities were issued to expire concurrent with the FERC license. As such, all of the NYSDEC WQCs are valid and in full effect for these facilities.

If you need anything further from the NYSDEC please contact me.

Christopher M. Hogan Chief, Major Project Management Unit Department of Environmental Conservation Division of Environmental Permits 625 Broadway, 4th Floor Albany, NY 12233-1750 (518) 402-9151 <u>chris.hogan@dec.ny.gov</u>

APPENDIX D THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES IPaC REPORT

4/8/2019 IPaC IPaC: Explore Location

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

1/13

2/13

IPaC resource list

This report is an automatically generated list of species and other resources such as critical habitat (collectively referred to as trust resources) under the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) jurisdiction that are known or expected to be on or near the project area referenced below. The list may also include trust resources that occur outside of the project area, but that could potentially be directly or indirectly affected by activities in the project area. However, determining the likelihood and extent of effects a project may have on trust resources typically requires gathering additional site-specific (e.g., vegetation/species surveys) and project-specific (e.g., magnitude and timing of proposed activities) information

Below is a summary of the project information you provided and contact information for the USFWS office(s) with jurisdiction in the defined project area. Please read the introduction to each section that follows (Endangered Species, Migratory Birds, USFWS Facilities, and NWI Wetlands) for additional information applicable to the trust resources addressed in that section.

IPaC: Explore Location

Location



4/6/2019

https://acos.fws.gov/lo

Local office

New York Ecological Services Field Office

- **(607)** 753-9334
- 1 (607) 753-9699
- 3817 Luker Road Cortland, NY 13045-9385

TEOR CONSULTATION http://www.fws.gov/northeast/nyfo/es/section7.htm

ion/UWFGKJBANNHELJNENQL2JDPP6#resources#migratory-birds

IPaC: Explore Location

Endangered species

This resource list is for informational purposes only and does not constitute an analysis of project level impacts.

The primary information used to generate this list is the known or expected range of each species. Additional areas of influence (AOI) for species are also considered. An AOI includes areas outside of the species range if the species could be indirectly affected by activities in that area (e.g., placing a dam upstream of a fish population, even if that fish does not occur at the dam site, may indirectly impact the species by reducing or eliminating water flow downstream). Because species can move, and site conditions can change, the species on this list are not guaranteed to be found on or near the project area. To fully determine any potential effects to species, additional site-specific and project-specific information is often required.

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act requires Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of such proposed action" for any project that is conducted, permitted, funded, or licensed by any Federal agency. A letter from the local office and a species list which fulfills this requirement can **only** be obtained by requesting an official species list from either the Regulatory Review section in IPaC (see directions below) or from the local field office directly.

For project evaluations that require USFWS concurrence/review, please return to the IPaC website and request an official species list by doing the following:

- 1. Draw the project location and click CONTINUE.
- 2. Click DEFINE PROJECT.
- 3. Log in (if directed to do so).
- 4. Provide a name and description for your project.
- 5. Click REQUEST SPECIES LIST.

Listed species¹ and their critical habitats are managed by the <u>Ecological Services Program</u> of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the fisheries division of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries²).

Species and critical habitats under the sole responsibility of NOAA Fisheries are not shown on this list. Please contact <u>NOAA</u> <u>Fisheries</u> for <u>species under their jurisdiction</u>.

https://pcos.fws.gov/ipac/location/LIWEGK. BANNHELUNENGL2JDPP6I/resources//migratory-birds

4/6/2019

4/6/2019

IPaC: Explore Location

- Species listed under the <u>Endangered Species Act</u> are threatened or endangered; IPaC also shows species that are candidates, or proposed, for listing. See the <u>listing status page</u> for more information.
- 2. <u>NOAA Fisheries</u>, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

The following species are potentially affected by activities in this location:

Mammals

NAME

Northern Long-eared Bat Myotis septentrionalis No critical habitat has been designated for this species. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9045 STATUS Threatened

Critical habitats

Potential effects to critical habitat(s) in this location must be analyzed along with the endangered species themselves.

THERE ARE NO CRITICAL HABITATS AT THIS LOCATION

Migratory birds

Certain birds are protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act¹ and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act².

Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in impacts to migratory birds, eagles, and their habitats should follow appropriate regulations and consider implementing appropriate conservation measures, as described below.

The <u>Migratory Birds Treaty Act</u> of 1918.
 The <u>Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act</u> of 1940.

https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/localion/UWFGK_BANNHELJNENQL2JDPP6i/resources#migratory-birds

4/13

3/13

4/6/2019

NAME

PaC: Explore Location

Additional information can be found using the following links:

- Birds of Conservation Concern <u>http://www.fws.gov/birds/management/managed-species/</u> birds-of-conservation-concern.php
- Measures for avoiding and minimizing impacts to birds <u>http://www.fws.gov/birds/management/project-assessment-tools-and-guidance/</u>
- conservation-measures.php
- Nationwide conservation measures for birds

http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/pdf/management/nationwidestandardconservationmeasures.pdf

The birds listed below are birds of particular concern either because they occur on the <u>USFWS Birds of Conservation Concern</u> (BCC) list or warrant special attention in your project location. To learn more about the levels of concern for birds on your list and how this list is generated, see the FAQ <u>below</u>. This is not a list of every bird you may find in this location, nor a guarantee that every bird on this list will be found in your project area. To see exact locations of where birders and the general public have sighted birds in and around your project area, visit the <u>E-bird data mapping tool</u> (Tip: enter your location, desired date range and a species on your list). For projects that occur off the Atlantic Coast, additional maps and models detailing the relative occurrence and abundance of bird species on your list are available. Links to additional information about Atlantic Coast birds, and other important information about your migratory bird list, including how to properly interpret and use your migratory bird report, can be found <u>below</u>.

For guidance on when to schedule activities or implement avoidance and minimization measures to reduce impacts to migratory birds on your list, click on the PROBABILITY OF PRESENCE SUMMARY at the top of your list to see when these birds are most likely to be present and breeding in your project area.

BREEDING SEASON (IF A BREEDING SEASON IS INDICATED FOR A BIRD ON YOUR LIST, THE BIRD MAY BREED IN YOUR PROJECT AREA SOMETIME WITHIN THE TIMEFRAME SPECIFIED, WHICH IS A VERY LIBERAL ESTIMATE OF THE DATES INSIDE WHICH THE BIRD BREEDS ACROSS ITS ENTIRE RANGE, "BREEDS BLSEWHERE" INDICATES THAT THE BIRD DOES NOT LIKELY BREED IN YOUR PROJECT AREA.)

603

ttps://ecos.hes.gov/ipac/location/UWFGKJBANNHELJNENQL2JDPP6I/resources//migratory-birds

FOR

4/6/2019 PaC: Explore Location Bald Eagle Haliaeetus leucocephalus Breeds Dec 1 to Aug 31 This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1626 Black-billed Cuckoo Coccyzus erythropthalmus Breeds May 15 to Oct 10 This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9399 Bobolink Dollchonyx oryzivorus Breeds May 20 to Jul 3' This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. Breeds Jun 1 to Jul 31 Cape May Warbler Setophaga tigrina This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. Eastern Whin-poor-will Antrostomus vociferus Breeds May 1 to Aug 20 This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska Evening Grosbeak Coccothraustes vespertinus Breeds May 15 to Aug 10 This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. Golden-winged Warbler Vermivora chrysoptera This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA Breeds May 1 to Jul 20 and Alaska. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/8745 os fes gov/lasc/location/UWFGK_BANNHELJNENOL2JDPP6I/res 6/13 IPaC: Explore Location

Breeds May 10 to Jul 20

Rusty Blackbird. Euchagus carolinus. This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.

Breeds May 10 to Aug 31

7/13

Wood Thrush Hylocichia mustellina This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.

Probability of Presence Summary

The graphs below provide our best understanding of when birds of concern are most likely to be present in your project area. This information can be used to tailor and schedule your project activities to avoid or minimize impacts to birds. Please make sure you read and understand the FAQ "Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report" before using or attempting to interpret this report.

Probability of Presence (III)

Each green bar represents the bird's relative probability of presence in the 10km grid cell(s) your project overlaps during a particular week of the year. (A year is represented as 12 4-week months.) A taller bar indicates a higher probability of species presence. The survey effort (see below) can be used to establish a level of confidence in the presence score. One can have higher confidence in the presence score if the corresponding survey effort is also high.

How is the probability of presence score calculated? The calculation is done in three steps:

- The probability of presence for each week is calculated as the number of survey events in the week where the species was detected divided by the total number of survey events for that week. For example, if in week 12 there were 20 survey events and the Spotted Towhee was found in 5 of them, the probability of presence of the Spotted Towhee in week 12 is 0.25.
- 2. To properly present the pattern of presence across the year, the relative probability of presence is calculated. This is the probability of presence divided by the maximum probability of presence across all weeks. For example, imagine the probability of presence in week 20 for the Spotted Towhee is 0.05, and that the probability of presence at week 12 (0.25) is the maximum of any week of the year. The relative probability of presence on week 12 is 0.25/0.25 = 1; at week 20 it is 0.05/0.25 = 0.2.
- 3. The relative probability of presence calculated in the previous step undergoes a statistical conversion so that all possible values fall between 0 and 10, inclusive. This is the probability of presence score.

IPaC: Explore Location

https://ecos.fes.gov/ipac/location/UWFGK_BANNHELINENQL2JDPP6)/resources//migralary-linds

4/6/2019

4/6/2019

To see a bar's probability of presence score, simply hover your mouse cursor over the bar.

Breeding Season (

Yellow bars denote a very liberal estimate of the time-frame inside which the bird breeds across its entire range. If there are no yellow bars shown for a bird, it does not breed in your project area.

Survey Effort (I)

Vertical black lines superimposed on probability of presence bars indicate the number of surveys performed for that species in the 10km grid cell(s) your project area overlaps. The number of surveys is expressed as a range, for example, 33 to 64 surveys.

To see a bar's survey effort range, simply hover your mouse cursor over the bar.

No Data (-)

A week is marked as having no data if there were no survey events for that week.

Survey Timeframe

Surveys from only the last 10 years are used in order to ensure delivery of currently relevant information. The exception to this is areas off the Atlantic coast, where bird returns are based on all years of available data, since data in these areas is currently much more sparse.



| 4/6/2019 | | | | | IPaC: Explore | e Location | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|-----------|---------------|------------|-------|-------------|----|----|-------|
| Bobolink BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska) | -++- | | +++ | -222 | ERE- | ¥+ | **** | | 1 | | + |
| Cape May Warbler BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bircl of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.) | | | **** | **#* | totan. | * | | | - | | |
| Eastern Whip-poor-will BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.) | - | | ! | it-art | | | | | | | A |
| Evening Grosbeak BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout Its range in the continental USA and Alaska.) | - | | | -111 | | | - | 3 | F, | tr | |
| Golden-winged Warbler BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout Its range in the continental USA and Alaska.) | | ~*** | ++++ | | PP- | S | 3) | - | 1 | | manad |
| Rusty Blackbird BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.) | | 0 | (| |)+- | | | نينية. ا | | | |
| Wood Thrush BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska) | F | 34 | ++++ | + + + + + | + 10+ | 1 | ***** | | 1 | | t |

Tell me more about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds.

https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/location/UWFGKJBANNHELJNENQL2JDPP6I/resources//migratory-birds

4/6/2019

IPaC: Explore Location

Nationwide Conservation Measures describes measures that can help avoid and minimize impacts to all birds at any location year round. Implementation of these measures is particularly important when birds are most likely to occur in the project area. When birds may be breeding in the area, identifying the locations of any active nests and avoiding their destruction is a very helpful impact minimization measure. To see when birds are most likely to occur and be breeding in your project area, view the Probability of Presence Summary. <u>Additional</u> <u>measures</u> and/or <u>permits</u> may be advisable depending on the type of activity you are conducting and the type of infrastructure or bird species present on your project site.

What does IPaC use to generate the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location?

The Migratory Bird Resource List is comprised of USFWS <u>Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC)</u> and other species that may warrant special attention in your project location.

The migratory bird list generated for your project is derived from data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network (AKN)</u>. The AKN data is based on a growing collection of <u>survey, banding, and citizen science datasets</u> and is queried and filtered to return a list of those birds reported as occurring in the 10km grid cell(s) which your project intersects, and that have been identified as warranting special attention because they are a BCC species in that area, an eagle (<u>Eagle Act</u> requirements may apply), or a species that has a particular vulnerability to offshore activities or development.

Again, the Migratory Bird Resource list includes only a subset of birds that may occur in your project area. It is not representative of all birds that may occur in your project area. To get a list of all birds potentially present in your project area, please visit the E-bird Explore Data Tool.

What does IPaC use to generate the probability of presence graphs for the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location?

The probability of presence graphs associated with your migratory bird list are based on data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network (AKN)</u>. This data is derived from a growing collection of <u>survey, banding, and citizen science datasets</u>.

Probability of presence data is continuously being updated as new and better information becomes available. To learn more about how the probability of presence graphs are produced and how to interpret them, go the Probability of Presence Summary and then click on the "Tell me about these graphs" link.

How do I know if a bird is breeding, wintering, migrating or present year-round in my project area?

To see what part of a particular bird's range your project area falls within (i.e. breeding, wintering, migrating or year-round), you may refer to the following resources: The Cornell Lab of Ornithology All About Birds Bird Guide or (if you are unsuccessful in locating the bird or interest there), the <u>Cornell Lab of Ornithology Neotropical Birds guide</u>. If a bird on your migratory bird species list has a breeding season associated with it, if that bird does occur in your project area, there may be nests present at some point within the timeframe specified. If "Breeds elsewhere" is indicated, then the bird likely does not breed in your project area.

What are the levels of concern for migratory birds?

ttps://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/location/LIWEGKJBANNHELJNENGL2JDPP6I/resources#migratory-birds

10/13

9/13

4/6/2019

PaC: Explore Locats

Migratory birds delivered through IPaC fall into the following distinct categories of concern:

- "BEC Rangewide" birds are <u>Birds of Conservation Concern</u> (BCC) that are of concern throughout their range anywhere within the USA (including Hawaii, the Pacific Islands, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands);
- 2. "BCC BCR" birds are BCCs that are of concern only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA; and
- 3. "Non-BCC Vulnerable" birds are not BCC species in your project area, but appear on your list either because of the Eagle Act requirements (for eagles) or (for non-eagles) potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities (e.g. offshore energy development or longline fishing).

Although it is important to try to avoid and minimize impacts to all birds, efforts should be made, in particular, to avoid and minimize impacts to the birds on this list, especially eagles and BCC species of rangewide concern. For more information on conservation measures you can implement to help avoid and minimize migratory bird impacts and requirements for eagles, please see the FAQs for these topics.

Details about birds that are potentially affected by offshore projects

For additional details about the relative occurrence and abundance of both individual bird species and groups of bird species within your project area off the Atlantic Coast, please visit the Northeast Ocean Data Portal. The Portal also offers data and information about other taxa besides birds that may be helpful to you in your project review. Alternately, you may download the bird model results files underlying the portal maps through the NOAA NCCOS Integrative Statistical Modeling and Predictive Mapping of Marine Bird Distributions and Abundance on the Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf project webpage.

Bird tracking data can also provide additional details about occurrence and habitat use throughout the year, including migration. Models relying on survey data may not include this information. For additional information on marine bird tracking data, see the Diving Bird Study and the nanotag studies or contact Caleb Spiegel or Pam Loring.

What if I have eagles on my list?

If your project has the potential to disturb or kill eagles, you may need to obtain a permit to avoid violating the Eagle Act should such impacts occur.

Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report

The migratory bird list generated is not a list of all birds in your project area, only a subset of birds of priority concern. To learn more about how your list is generated, and see options for identifying what other birds may be in your project area, please see the FAQ "What does IPaC use to generate the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location". Please be aware this report provides the "probability of presence" of birds within the 10 km grid cell(s) that overlap your project; not your exact project footprint. On the graphs provided, please also look carefully at the survey effort (indicated by the black vertical bar) and for the existence of the "no data" indicator (a red horizontal bar). A high survey effort is the key component. If the survey effort is high, then the probability of presence score can be viewed as more dependable. In contrast, a low survey effort bar or no data bar means a lack of data and, therefore, a lack of certainty about presence of the species. This list is

https://pcos.fws.gov/ipac/location/UWEGKJBANNHELUNENOL2JDPP6i/resources//migratory-birds

19713

12/13

4/6/2019

IPaC: Explore Location not perfect, it is simply a starting point for identifying what birds of concern have the potential to be in your project area, when they might be there, and if they might be breeding (which means nests might be present). The list helps you know what to look for to confirm presence, and helps guide you in knowing when to implement conservation measures to avoid or minimize potential impacts from your project activities, should presence be confirmed. To learn more about conservation measures, visit the FAQ "Tell me about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds" at the bottom of your migratory bird trust resources page.

Facilities

National Wildlife Refuge lands

Any activity proposed on lands managed by the National Wildlife Refuge system must undergo a 'Compatibility Determination' conducted by the Refuge. Please contact the individual Refuges to discuss any questions or concerns

THERE ARE NO REFUGE LANDS AT THIS LOCATION

Fish hatcheries

THERE ARE NO FISH HATCHERIES AT THIS LOCATION.

clocalion/UWFGK.BANNHELJNENOL2JDPP6Vress

Wetlands in the National Wetlands Inventory

Impacts to NWI wetlands and other aquatic habitats may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. or other State/Federal statutes.

For more information please contact the Regulatory Program of the local U.S. Army Corps of Engineers District.

NSULT

4/6/2019

IPaC Explore Location

WETLAND INFORMATION IS NOT AVAILABLE AT THIS TIME

This can happen when the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) map service is unavailable, or for very large projects that intersect many wetland areas. Try again, or visit the <u>NWI map</u> to view wetlands at this location.

Data limitations

The Service's objective of mapping wetlands and deepwater habitats is to produce reconnaissance level information on the location, type and size of these resources. The maps are prepared from the analysis of high altitude imagery. Wetlands are identified based on vegetation, visible hydrology and geography. A margin of error is inherent in the use of imagery: thus, detailed on-the-ground inspection of any particular site may result in revision of the wetland boundaries or classification established through image analysis.

The accuracy of image interpretation depends on the quality of the imagery, the experience of the image analysts, the amount and quality of the collateral data and the amount of ground truth verification work conducted. Metadata should be consulted to determine the date of the source imagery used and any mapping problems.

Wetlands or other mapped features may have changed since the date of the imagery or field work. There may be occasional differences in polygon boundaries or classifications between the information depicted on the map and the actual conditions on site.

Data exclusions

Certain wetland habitats are excluded from the National mapping program because of the limitations of aerial imagery as the primary data source used to detect wetlands. These habitats include seagrasses or submerged aquatic vegetation that are found in the intertidal and subtidal zones of estuaries and nearshore coastal waters. Some deepwater reef communities (coral or tuberficid worm reefs) have also been excluded from the inventory. These habitats, because of their depth, go undetected by aerial imagery.

Data precautions

Federal, state, and local regulatory agencies with jurisdiction over wetlands may define and describe wetlands in a different manner than that used in this inventory. There is no attempt, in either the design or products of this inventory, to define the limits of proprietary jurisdiction of any Federal, state, or local government or to establish the geographical scope of the regulatory programs of government agencies. Persons intending to engage in activities involving modifications within or adjacent to wetland areas should seek the advice of appropriate federal, state, or local agencies concerning specified agency regulatory programs and proprietary jurisdictions that may affect such activities.

https://ecos.lws.gov/lpsc/location/JWFGKJBANNHELJNENGL2JDPP6I/resources#migratory-biros

13/13