Exhibit F – Cultural Resource Protection

Copies of the following documents are attached:

Maine Historic Preservation Commission (SHPO) letter of May 5, 1982, finding that the redevelopment of the Worumbo Project would “have no effect upon any structure or site of historic, architectural, or archaeological significance ...”.

Maine Historic Preservation Commission (SHPO) letter of April 17, 1998, finding that the 1998 license amendment would have no effect on properties of historic, architectural, or archaeological significance.
May 5, 1982

Mr. Mark Isaacson
Miller Hydro Group
P. O. Box 97
Lisbon Falls, Maine 04252

re: Worumbo Hydroelectric Project FERC #3428

Dear Mr. Isaacson:

My staff has carefully reviewed the proposal for redevelopment of the Worumbo Mill dam. The Worumbo Mill National Register listing does not include the existing powerhouse, which is a much later 20th century structure than those on the Register. In addition, the design and location of its replacement will not have an impact on the 19th century mill buildings. A field check by my staff archaeologist indicates that there is no chance of encountering significant archaeological material in the tailrace extension area.

I find that this project will have no effect upon any structure or site of historic, architectural, or archaeological significance as defined by the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966.

If I can be of further assistance concerning this matter, please do not hesitate to let me know.

Sincerely,

Earle G. Shettleworth, Jr.
State Historic Preservation Officer
April 17, 1998

Mr. Mark Issacson, Vice President
Miller Hydro Group
P. O. Box 97
Lisbon Falls, Maine 04252-0097

Dear Mr. Isaacson:

In response to your recent request, I have reviewed your draft application for amendment of the Worumbo Hydroelectric Project, FERC Project #3428-Maine.

Although there are or may be properties in the project area of historic, architectural, or archaeological significance as defined by the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, I find that the proposed undertaking will have no effect upon such properties, in that the proposed pool operation between 98.5 and 97.0 feet, which is less than the historic operating maximum of 99.0 feet, will not exacerbate erosion of any archaeological sites located above the pool elevation.

If I can be of further assistance concerning this matter, please do not hesitate to let me know.

Sincerely,

Earle G. Shettleworth, Jr.
State Historic Preservation Officer