# LOW IMPACT HYDROPOWER INSTITUTE

34 Providence Street Portland, ME 04103 Tel. (207) 773-8190 • Fax (206) 984-3086 www.lowimpacthydro.org

# LOW IMPACT HYDROPOWER QUESTIONNAIRE

[Excerpted from Part VI, Section E of the Low Impact Hydropower Certification Program. Words in italics are defined in Part VI, Section C, and line-by-line instructions are available in Section D of the program, available on-line in PDF format at http://www.lowimpacthydro.org.

### E. LOW IMPACT HYDROPOWER QUESTIONNAIRE

Background Information	
1) Name of the <i>Facility</i> .	Stillwater Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 2712) – Powerhouse B (all information herein pertains to the new powerhouse)
	(Stillwater Project LIHI certified on January 26, 2011 with effective date of June 1, 2010; please see applicable application and certification information for additional project details)
<ol> <li>Applicant's name, contact information and relationship to the Facility. If the Applicant is not the Facility owner/operator, also provide the name and contact information for the Facility owner and operator.</li> </ol>	Scott D. Hall VP – Environmental & Business Services Black Bear Hydro Partners, LLC Davenport Street, PO Box 276 Milford, ME 04461

	207-827-5364 - p
	207-461-3617 - m
	207-827-4102 - f
3) Location of Facility by river and state.	Stillwater Branch of the Penobscot River
4) Installed capacity.	2.229 MW
5) Average annual generation.	18,300 MWh
6) Regulatory status.	FERC No. 2712; issued 4/20/1998,
	expires 3/31/2048; amended 9/14/12
7) Reservoir volume and surface area measured at the high water mark in an average water year.	1910 acre-feet; 191 acres
8) Area occupied by non-reservoir facilities ( <i>e.g.</i> , dam, penstocks, powerhouse).	Approximately 1.0 acres
9) Number of acres inundated by the Facility.	n/a
10) Number of acres contained in a 200-foot zone extending around entire impoundment.	Approximately 145.4 acres
11) Please attach a list of contacts in the relevant Resource Agencies and in non-governmental organizations that have been involved in Recommending conditions for your Facility.	See attached – Contact List
12) Please attach a description of the Facility, its mode of operation ( <i>i.e.</i> , peaking/run of river) and a map of the Facility.	See below - background information and project-specific data
Questions for For "New" Facilities Only:	
If the Facility you are applying for is "new" i.e., an existing dam that added or increased power generation capacity after August of 1998 please answer the following questions to determine eligibility for the program	
13) When was the dam associated with the Facility completed?	1913 (dam constructed prior to

		powerhouse/unit in	stallation)
14) When did the added or increased generation first generate electricity? If the added increased generation is not yet operational, please answer question 18 as well.	or	Pursuant to the Lor Multiparty Settleme FERC order dated (140 FERC 62,195	wer Penobscot River ent Agreement and a 14 September 2012 ) the license was
		operation of Power	house B.
15) Did the added or increased power generation capacity require or include any new or other diversion structure?	lam or	No	
16) Did the added or increased capacity include or require a change in water flow throu facility that worsened conditions for fish, wildlife, or water quality, (for example, operations change from run-of-river to peaking)?	igh the did	No	
17 (a) Was the existing dam recommended for removal or decommissioning by resource agencies, or recommended for removal or decommissioning by a broad representate interested persons and organizations in the local and/or regional community prior to added or increased capacity?	ce ion of o the	No	
(b) If you answered "yes" to question 17(a), the Facility is not eligible for certification you can show that the added or increased capacity resulted in specific measures to improve fish, wildlife, or water quality protection at the existing dam. If such mea were a result, please explain.	a, unless sures		
<ul> <li>18 (a) If the increased or added generation is not yet operational, has the increased or ad generation received regulatory authorization (e.g., approval by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission)? If not, the facility is not eligible for consideration; and</li> <li>(b) Are there any pending appeals or litigation regarding that authorization? If so, the is not eligible for consideration.</li> </ul>	dded facility	By FERC order dat (140 FERC 62,195 license was amend construction/ opera	ted 14 September 2012 ) the Stillwater Project ded to authorize ation of Powerhouse B.
	1	There are no pend	ing appeals/litigation.
A. Flows	PASS		FAIL
1) Is the Facility in <i>Compliance</i> with <i>Resource Agency Recommendations</i> issued	YES =	Pass, Go to B	NO = Fail

	after December 31, 1986 regarding flow conditions for fish and wildlife protection, mitigation and enhancement (including in-stream flows, ramping and peaking rate conditions, and seasonal and episodic instream flow variations) for both the reach below the tailrace and all bypassed reaches?	N/A = Go to A2	
2)	If there is no flow condition recommended by any Resource Agency for the Facility, or if the recommendation was issued prior to January 1, 1987, is the Facility in Compliance with a flow release schedule, both below the tailrace and in all bypassed reaches, that at a minimum meets Aquatic Base Flow standards or "good" habitat flow standards calculated using the Montana-Tennant method?	YES = Pass, go to B NO = Go to A3	
3) If d tl p	the Facility is unable to meet the flow standards in A.2., has the Applicant lemonstrated, and obtained a letter from the relevant Resource Agency confirming hat demonstration, that the flow conditions at the Facility are appropriately protective of fish, wildlife, and water quality?	YES = Pass, go to B	NO = Fail
DV	Votor Ovolity	DASS	БАН
<b>D. V</b>	Is the Eacility either:	rASS	FAIL
a)	In Compliance with all conditions issued pursuant to a Clean Water Act Section 401 water quality certification issued for the Facility after December 31, 1986? Or	YES = Go to B2	NO = Fail
b)	In Compliance with the quantitative water quality standards established by the state that support designated uses pursuant to the federal Clean Water Act in the Facility area and in the downstream reach?		
2)	Is the Facility area or the downstream reach currently identified by the state as not meeting water quality standards (including narrative and numeric criteria and designated uses) pursuant to Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act?	YES = Go to B3 NO = Pass	
3)	If the answer to question B.2 is yes, has there been a determination that the Facility is not a cause of that violation?	YES = Pass	NO = Fail
1			

C.	Fish	Passage and Protection	PASS	FAIL
1)	Is t ups by	he Facility in Compliance with <i>Mandatory Fish Passage Prescriptions</i> for stream and downstream passage of anadromous and catadromous fish issued Resource Agencies after December 31, 1986?	$\frac{\mathbf{YES}}{\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{Go to C5}$ $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Go to C2}$	NO = Fail
2)	Are thre pre dov	e there historic records of anadromous and/or catadromous fish movement ough the Facility area, but anadromous and/or catadromous fish do not sently move through the Facility area ( <i>e.g.</i> , because passage is blocked at a wnstream dam or the fish run is extinct)?	YES = Go to C2a NO = Go to C3	
	a)	If the fish are extinct or extirpated from the Facility area or downstream reach, has the Applicant demonstrated that the extinction or extirpation was not due in whole or part to the Facility?	YES = Go to C2b N/A = Go to C2b	NO = Fail
	b)	If a Resource Agency Recommended adoption of upstream and/or downstream fish passage measures at a specific future date, or when a triggering event occurs (such as completion of passage through a downstream obstruction or the completion of a specified process), has the Facility owner/operator made a legally enforceable commitment to provide such passage?	YES = Go to C5 N/A = Go to C3	NO = Fail
3)	If,	since December 31, 1986:		
	a)	Resource Agencies have had the opportunity to issue, and considered issuing, a Mandatory Fish Passage Prescription for upstream and/or downstream passage of anadromous or catadromous fish (including delayed installation as described in C2a above), and	NO = Go to C5 N/A = Go to C4	YES = Fail
	b)	The Resource Agencies declined to issue a Mandatory Fish Passage Prescription,		
	c)	Was a reason for the Resource Agencies' declining to issue a Mandatory Fish Passage Prescription one of the following: (1) the technological infeasibility of passage, (2) the absence of habitat upstream of the Facility due at least in part to inundation by the Facility impoundment, or (3) the anadromous or catadromous fish are no longer present in the Facility area		

	and/or downstream reach due in whole or part to the presence of the Facility?		
4)	If C3 was not applicable:	YES = Go to C5	NO = Fail
a)	Are upstream and downstream fish passage survival rates for anadromous and catadromous fish at the dam each documented at greater than 95% over 80% of the run using a generally accepted monitoring methodology? Or		
b)	If the Facility is unable to meet the fish passage standards in 4.a., has the Applicant demonstrated, and obtained a letter from the US Fish and Wildlife Service or National Marine Fisheries Service confirming that demonstration, that the upstream and downstream fish passage measures (if any) at the Facility are appropriately protective of the fishery resource?		
5)	Is the Facility in Compliance with Mandatory Fish Passage Prescriptions for upstream and/or downstream passage of <i>Riverine</i> fish?	YES = Go to C6 N/A = Go to C6 Note: no such prescription has been issued	NO = Fail
6)	Is the Facility in Compliance with Resource Agency Recommendations for Riverine, anadromous and catadromous fish entrainment protection, such as tailrace barriers?	<b>YES</b> = Pass, go to D N/A = Pass, go to D	NO = Fail
	Watarshad Dustastian	DASS	EAH
1) wil fee im	Is there a buffer zone dedicated for conservation purposes (to protect fish and ddlife habitat, water quality, aesthetics and/or low-impact recreation) extending 200 at from the high water mark in an average water year around 50 - 100% of the poundment, and for all of the undeveloped shoreline	YES = Pass, go to E and receive 3 extra years of certification	NO = go to D2
2) fun rec app	Has the facility owner/operator established an approved watershed enhancement ad that: 1) could achieve within the project's watershed the ecological and creational equivalent of land protection in D.1.,and 2) has the agreement of propriate stakeholders and state and federal resource agencies?	YES = Pass, go to E and receive 3 extra years of certification	NO = go to D3
3)	Has the facility owner/operator established through a settlement agreement with	YES = Pass, go to E	NO = go to D4

appropriate stakeholders and that has state and federal resource agencies agreement an appropriate shoreland buffer or equivalent watershed land protection plan for conservation purposes (to protect fish and wildlife habitat, water quality, aesthetics and/or low impact recreation)		
4) Is the facility in compliance with both state and federal resource agencies recommendations in a license approved shoreland management plan regarding protection, mitigation or enhancement of shorelands surrounding the project.	YES = Pass, go to E	No = Fail
E. Threatened and Endangered Species Protection	PASS	FAIL
<ol> <li>Are threatened or endangered species listed under state or federal Endangered Species Acts present in the Facility area and/or downstream reach?</li> </ol>	<b>YES</b> = Go to E2 NO = Pass, go to F	
2) If a recovery plan has been adopted for the threatened or endangered species pursuant to Section 4(f) of the Endangered Species Act or similar state provision, is the Facility in Compliance with all recommendations in the plan relevant to the Facility?	YES = Go to E3 N/A = Go to E3	NO = Fail
<ul> <li>3) If the Facility has received authority to incidentally <i>Take</i> a listed species through:</li> <li>(i) Having a relevant agency complete consultation pursuant to ESA Section 7 resulting in a biological opinion, a habitat recovery plan, and/or (if needed) an incidental Take statement; (ii) Obtaining an incidental Take permit pursuant to ESA Section 10; or (iii) For species listed by a state and not by the federal government, obtaining authority pursuant to similar state procedures; is the Facility in Compliance with conditions pursuant to that authority?</li> </ul>	<b>YES</b> = Go to E4 N/A <b>= Go to E5</b>	NO = Fail
<ul> <li>4) If a biological opinion applicable to the Facility for the threatened or endangered species has been issued, can the Applicant demonstrate that:</li> <li>a) The biological opinion was accompanied by a FERC license or exemption or a habitat conservation plan? Or</li> <li>b) The biological opinion was issued pursuant to or consistent with a recovery plan for the endangered or threatened species? Or</li> </ul>	<b>YES</b> = Pass, go to F	NO = Fail
c) There is no recovery plan for the threatened or endangered species under		

	<ul><li>active development by the relevant Resource Agency? Or</li><li>d) The recovery plan under active development will have no material effect on the Facility's operations?</li></ul>		
5)	If E.2. and E.3. are not applicable, has the Applicant demonstrated that the Facility and Facility operations do not negatively affect listed species?	YES = Pass, go to F	NO = Fail
F.	Cultural Resource Protection	PASS	FAIL
1)	If FERC-regulated, is the Facility in Compliance with all requirements regarding Cultural Resource protection, mitigation or enhancement included in the FERC license or exemption?	<b>YES</b> = Pass, go to G N/A = Go to F2	NO = Fail
2)	If not FERC-regulated, does the Facility owner/operator have in place (and is in Compliance with) a plan for the protection, mitigation or enhancement of impacts to Cultural Resources approved by the relevant state or federal agency or <i>Native American Tribe</i> , or a letter from a senior officer of the relevant agency or Tribe that no plan is needed because Cultural Resources are not negatively affected by the Facility?	YES = Pass, go to G	NO = Fail
<b>G.</b>	Recreation	PASS	FAIL
1)	If FERC-regulated, is the Facility in Compliance with the recreational access, accommodation (including recreational flow releases) and facilities conditions in its FERC license or exemption?	$\frac{\mathbf{YES}}{\mathbf{N/A}} = \mathbf{Go} \text{ to } \mathbf{G3}$ $\mathbf{N/A} = \mathbf{Go} \text{ to } \mathbf{G2}$	NO = Fail
2)	If not FERC-regulated, does the Facility provide recreational access, accommodation (including recreational flow releases) and facilities, as Recommended by Resource Agencies or other agencies responsible for recreation?	YES = Go to G3	NO = Fail
3)	Does the Facility allow access to the reservoir and downstream reaches without fees or charges?	<b>YES</b> = Pass, go to H	NO = Fail

H.	Facilities Recommended for Removal	PASS	FAIL
1)	Is there a Resource Agency Recommendation for removal of the dam associated with the Facility?	<mark>NO</mark> = Pass, Facility is Low Impact	YES = Fail

The following provides additional information in support of the application for certification of the Stillwater Hydroelectric Project – Powerhouse B as a low impact facility. In addition, the Lower Penobscot River Multiparty Settlement Agreement, the Stillwater Project FERC license, Water Quality Certificate, and the amendments to incorporate the relevant provisions of the Lower Penobscot River Multiparty Settlement Agreement are being provided separately.

The new Powerhouse B is being added to the existing Stillwater Project that was previously certified by LIHI on 26 January 2011, with an effective date of 1 June 2010. The information contained herein pertains specifically to the new Powerhouse B. Please refer to the current LIHI certification and supporting materials for additional information if necessary.

### A. Flows

The Lower Penobscot River Multiparty Settlement Agreement provided for amendments to the Stillwater Hydroelectric Project license, incorporating the pertinent minimum flow and contingent mitigation requirements provided for in Attachments A and B of the Agreement, including run-of-river operations and a combined minimum bypass flow of 70cfs. The signatories to the Agreement also filed supportive pleadings and those provisions are now included in the FERC license. In addition, the Project's original license and Water Quality Certificate, as well as the amendments to incorporate the relevant provisions of the Lower Penobscot River Multiparty Settlement Agreement include provisions for water level and flow management. Please see attached 14 September 2012 FERC Order amending the license which calls for the water level plan to be updated. For additional information please see attached 9 July 2012 FERC Environmental Assessment.

# **B.** Water Quality

The Stillwater Hydroelectric Project received its Water Quality Certification from the Maine Department of Environmental Protection on 23 October 1992, and the Certification was amended on 13 January 2005, and 17 August 2011 to incorporate construction and operation of the Stillwater project Powerhouse B, and the relevant provisions of the Lower Penobscot River Multiparty Settlement Agreement. Please see attached 17 August 2011 Water Quality Certification.

## C. Fish Passage and Protection

The Lower Penobscot River Multiparty Settlement Agreement provided for the amendment of the Stillwater Hydroelectric Project license, incorporating the pertinent fish passage and contingent mitigation requirements (for existing and additional facilities) provided for in Attachments A and B of the Agreement. The license also includes an article reserving FERC's authority to require the licensee to construct operate and maintain such fishways as may be prescribed by the Secretary of Interior of the Secretary of Commerce under Section 18 of the Federal Power Act. Furthermore, the recently amended license includes provisions for additional fish passage measures. Please see attached 14 September 2012 FERC Order amending license.

#### **D. Watershed Protection**

Since the FERC Project boundary for the Stillwater Hydroelectric Project primarily contains the land necessary for operation and maintenance of the project facilities, state and federal resource agencies did not request a formal shoreland management plan. However, in addition to the standard FERC license requirements associated with erosion and sedimentation control the Project is subject to federal, state and local erosion and sedimentation control requirements.

#### E. Threatened and Endangered Species Protection

The Penobscot River run of Atlantic salmon was recently added to the Gulf of Maine population of Atlantic salmon that is listed as endangered under the Federal Endangered Species Act. As a result, Black Bear Hydro Partners, LLC worked with the US Fish and Wildlife Service and NOAA Fisheries to develop a species protection plan (SPP) for its Penobscot River hydroelectric projects and operations. The SPP was incorporated into the Biological Opinion (BO) (issued 31 August 2012) that was subsequently made part of the Stillwater Project FERC license, as amended by Order dated 14 September 2012. In addition to the 14 September 2012 FERC Order Amending the Stillwater Project License which includes the pertinent terms and conditions of the BO, we are also attaching the 31 August 2012 BO as additional background information.

#### F. Cultural Resource Protection

Cultural resource assessments during the licensing process did not reveal any specific issues associated with the Stillwater Project. However, the Project does have a Cultural Resource Management Plan which includes provisions to address cultural resource issues in the event they arise during the term of the license.

## G. Recreation

The Stillwater Hydroelectric Project license and Water Quality Certificate contain recreation-related provisions for the project. Black Bear Hydro Partners, LLC has implemented the recreation plan in accordance with the license requirements.

FERC Number: 2712 FERC License Expiration: March 31, 2048 **River:** Stillwater Branch of Penobscot Town: Old Town Drainage Area :2,533 Sq. Miles (1) Upstream Fish Passage Type: upstream American Eel **Downstream Fish Passage Type:** Surface and bottom bypasses, one-inch trashracks (enhancements to surface weir) Installed Capacity: additional 2.229 MW with additional Powerhouse B Number of Units: 3 within Powerhouse B Type of Units: vertical propeller (2 fixed and 1 Kaplan) with Powerhouse B **Operating Mode:** run of river Dam Type: Concrete Gravity FERC Dam Classification: Low Hazard **Dam Length:** 1,712 Ft. Flashboard Height: 1-3 Ft. Head: 21 Ft. Surface Area: 145.4 Acres (1) 33% of 7,600 prorated for flow distribution requirement

Project works associated with Powerhouse B consist of a hydroelectric generating facility integral to the dam at the eastern abutment for the Stillwater Project and includes:

- New Intake Structure and Forebay Installation of a 60-ft-long by 18-ft-wide by 22-ft-high concrete intake structure, integral to the dam at the east abutment, with an angled trashrack with 1 inch (in) clear full-depth spacing. A 60-ft-wide by 60-ft-long forebay will be installed in the dam above the powerhouse.
- New Powerhouse Construction of a 40-ft-wide by 55-ft-long by 56-ft-high concrete powerhouse (Powerhouse B), housing three CHC turbine/generator units, each with 743 kW capacity. Powerhouse B will have 2.229 MW total capacity.

- New Transmission Line Installation of an approximately 300-ft-aerial transmission line extending from the Powerhouse B generating step-up unit (GSU) to a local 12.5 KV distribution system.
- New Access Road and Parking Area improvement and extension of the access road serving the dam by paving approximately 80 ft (with a 20 ft width) to the newly constructed 75 ft by 45 ft parking area adjacent to the Powerhouse B.

The Project dam itself is a main concrete gravity dam, about 1,720 feet long, with a maximum height of 22 feet at crest elevation 91.65 feet National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD), consisting of 13 sections of varying height and length, an impoundment, about 3 miles long, having a surface area of about 145.5 acres; a normal headwater surface elevation of about 94.65 feet NGVD; and appurtenant facilities.