Linweave, Inc., Project No. 2497-002 - Massachusetts

Order Issuing License (Minor Project)

(Issued June 29, 1989)

Fred E. Springer, Director, Office of Hydropower Licensing.

Linweave, Inc. has filed a license application under Part I of the Federal Power Act (Act) to continue to operate and maintain the Mt. Tom Mill Project located on the Holyoke Canal, in Hampden County, Massachusetts. The hydroelectric facilities located along the Holyoke Canal system affect navigable waters of the United States. The license for the project, which was issued on April 2, 1975 [53 FPC 1070], with an effective date of March 1, 1941, expires on February 28, 1991.

Notice of the application has been published. No protests were filed in this proceeding, and no agency objected to issuance of this license. Comments received from interested agencies and individuals have been fully considered in determining whether to issue this license. Motions to intervene were filed by the City of Holyoke Gas & Electric Department and the Holyoke Water Power Company (HWP) in order to be parties in this proceeding. HWP also requests that any license issued which utilizes HWP’s Holyoke Canal System water be conditioned, as was the previous license, to require cooperation with HWP as the licensee for the Hadley Falls Project No. 2004. Article 202 is included to provide for appropriate cooperation.

Comprehensive Development

Sections 4(e) and 10(a)(1) of the Act require the Commission to consider and balance in the public interest all uses of the waterway on which a project is proposed to be located. The Mt. Tom Mill Hydroelectric Project has operated under the terms of its existing license since 1975. In the environmental assessment (EA), the staff analyzed the environmental effects of the continued operation of the project. Neither the resource agencies nor staff identified any significant conflicts between continued operation of the project as proposed by the applicant, and the environmental values of the project area. Three alternatives to relicensing the Mt. Tom Mill Hydroelectric Project were also considered by the staff in its EA. They include: (1) issuance of an annual license; (2) issuance of a non-power license; and (3) denial of a license application. No alternative was identified that would have a higher or better use of the project in terms of providing power and environmental benefits without significant environmental cost.

Section 10(a)(2) of the Act also requires the Commission to consider the extent to which a proposed project is consistent with an existing federal, state, or local comprehensive plan. Under section 10(a)(2), federal, and state agencies filed seven comprehensive plans that address resources in Massachusetts. Of these plans, staff identified and reviewed four plans relevant to this project. No conflicts between the proposed Mt. Tom Mill Hydroelectric Project and these four plans were found.

Therefore, the project as conditioned is determined to be best adapted to a comprehensive plan, pursuant to Section 10(a) of the Act, for improving a waterway and would provide for adequate and equitable protection, mitigation, and enhancement of fish and wildlife pursuant to Section 10(j) of the Act.
Recommendations of Federal and State Fish and Wildlife Agencies

Section 10(j) of the Act requires the Commission to include license conditions, based on recommendations of federal and state fish and wildlife agencies, for the protection, mitigation, and enhancement of fish and wildlife. The environmental assessment for the Mt. Tom Mill Hydroelectric Project addresses the concerns of the federal and state fish and wildlife agencies; however, recommendations are not needed for continued operation of the project.

ECPA Finding

Section 10(a)(2)(C) and section 15(a) of the Federal Power Act, as amended by the Electric Consumers Protection Act of 1986 (ECPA), requires the Commission to consider in writing the following factors in issuing new licenses.

Consumption Efficiency Improvement Programs (Section 10(a)(2)(C))

Since the applicant's primary business is the manufacture of paper products and not the generation or sale of electric power, no discussion of on-going or planned conservation and load-management programs is required in this document.

Section 15 Findings

Section 15 was waived in the original license; therefore, section 15 findings are not required in this document.

Term of License

Section 15 of the Act, as amended by ECPA specifies that any license issued under section 15 shall be for a term which the Commission determines to be in the public interest, but not less than 30 years, nor more than 50 years from the date the license is issued. This provision is similar to pre-ECPA Commission policy, which was to establish 30-year terms for those projects which proposed no new construction or capacity, 40-year terms for those projects that proposed a moderate amount of new development, and 50-year terms for those projects that proposed a substantial amount of new development. Linweave, Inc. proposes no modifications to the existing project facilities or change in operation of the project. Accordingly, this license [63,589] for the project will be for a term of 30 years from the expiration of the existing license.

Summary of Findings

An EA was issued for this project. Background information, analysis of impacts, support for related license articles, and the basis for a finding of no significant impact on the environment are contained in the EA attached to this order. Issuance of this license is not a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

The design of this project is consistent with the engineering standards governing dam safety. The project will be safe if operated and maintained in accordance with the requirements of this license. Analysis of related issues is provided in the Safety and Design Assessment attached to this order.

The Director, Office of Hydropower Licensing, concludes that the project would not conflict with any planned or authorized development, and would be best adapted to comprehensive development of the waterway for beneficial public uses.

The Director orders:

(A) This license is issued to Linweave, Inc. (licensee), for a period of 30 years, effective March 1, 1991, to operate and maintain the Mt. Tom Mill Project. This license is subject to the terms and conditions of the Act, which is incorporated by reference as part of this license, and subject to the regulations the Commission issues under the provisions of the Act.

(B) The project consists of:

(1) All lands, to the extent of the licensee's interests in those lands, shown by exhibit G:

Exhibit  FERC No. Showing
(2) Project works consisting of: (a) a gated intake with submerged trashracks located on the Second Level Canal; (b) a 230-foot-long 8-foot-diameter steel penstock; (c) a single runner, Francis turbine directly coupled to a 500-kilowatt (kW) Westinghouse generator; (d) a 205-foot-long, 9-foot-wide by 6-foot-high arched, brick-lined tailrace tunnel; (e) a concrete gated outlet structure where the tailwater empties into a channel that leads to the Connecticut River; (f) a 0.6-kilovolt (kV), 240-foot-long transmission line, and a 13.8-kV, 90-foot-long transmission line and (g) appurtenant facilities.

The project works generally described above are more specifically shown and described by those portions of exhibits A and F recommended for approval in the attached Safety and Design Assessment.

(3) All of the structures, fixtures, equipment or facilities used to operate or maintain the project, all portable property that may be employed in connection with the project and all riparian or other rights that are necessary or appropriate in the operation or maintenance of the project.

(C) The exhibit G described above and those sections of exhibits A and F recommended for approval in the attached Safety and Design Assessment are approved and made part of the license.

(D) The following sections of the Act are waived and excluded from the license for this minor project:
4(b), except the second sentence; 4(e), insofar as it relates to approval of plans by the Chief of Engineers and the Secretary of the Army; 6, insofar as it relates to public notice and to the acceptance and expression in the license of terms and conditions of the Act that are waived here; 10(c), insofar as it relates to depreciation reserves; 10(d); 10(f); 14, except insofar as the power of condemnation is reserved; 15; 16; 19; 20; and 22.

(E) This license is subject to the articles set forth in Form L-9 (October 1975) [reported at 54 FPC 1852], entitled “Terms and Conditions of License for Constructed Minor Project Affecting Navigable Waters of the United States”, and the following additional articles:

Article 201. The licensee shall pay the United States the following annual charge, effective March 1, 1991:
For the purpose of reimbursing the United States for the cost of administration of Part I of the Act, a reasonable amount as determined in accordance with the provisions of the Commission’s regulations in effect from time to time. The authorized installed capacity for that purpose is 667 horsepower.

Article 202. The Licensee shall cooperate with the licensee for Project No. 2004 in order that the conditions of Article 16 of the license for Project No. 2004 can be fulfilled.

Article 401. Authority is reserved to the Commission to require the licensee to construct, operate, and maintain, or provide for the construction, operation, and maintenance of such fishways, as may be prescribed by the Secretary of the Interior pursuant to section 18 of the Federal Power Act.

Article 402. (a) In accordance with the provisions of this article, the licensee shall have the authority to grant permission for certain types of use and occupancy of project lands and waters and to convey certain interests in project lands and waters for certain types of use and occupancy, without prior Commission approval. The licensee may exercise the authority only if the proposed use and occupancy is consistent with the purposes of protecting and enhancing the scenic, recreational, and other environmental values of the project. For those purposes, the licensee shall also have continuing responsibility to supervise and control the use and occupancies for which it grants permission, and to monitor the use of, and ensure compliance with the covenants of the instrument of conveyance for, any interests that it has conveyed, under this article. If a permitted use and occupancy violates any condition of this article or any other condition imposed by the licensee for protection and enhancement of the project’s scenic, recreational, or other environmental values, or if a covenant of a conveyance made under the authority of this article is violated, the licensee shall take any lawful action necessary to correct the violation. For a permitted use or occupancy, that action includes, if necessary,
cancelling the permission to use and occupy the project lands and waters and requiring the removal of any non-complying structures and facilities.

(b) The type of use and occupancy of project lands and water for which the licensee may grant permission without prior Commission approval are: (1) landscape plantings; (2) non-commercial piers, landings, boat docks, or similar structures and facilities that can accommodate no more than 10 watercraft at a time and where said facility is intended to serve single-family type dwellings; and (3) embankments, bulkheads, retaining walls, or similar structures for erosion control to protect the existing shoreline. To the extent feasible and desirable to protect and enhance the project’s scenic, recreational, and other environmental values, the licensee shall require multiple use and occupancy of facilities for access to project lands or waters. The licensee shall also ensure, to the satisfaction of the Commission’s authorized representative, that the use and occupancies for which it grants permission are maintained in good repair and comply with applicable state and local health and safety requirements. Before granting permission for construction of bulkheads or retaining walls, the licensee shall: (1) inspect the site of the proposed construction, (2) consider whether the planting of vegetation or the use of riprap would be adequate to control erosion at the site, and (3) determine that the proposed construction is needed and would not change the basic contour of the reservoir shoreline. To implement this paragraph (b), the licensee may, among other things, establish a program for issuing permits for the specified types of use and occupancy of project lands and waters, which may be subject to the payment of a reasonable fee to cover the licensee’s costs of administering the permit program. The Commission reserves the right to require the licensee to file a description of its standards, guidelines, and procedures for implementing this paragraph (b) and to require modification of those standards, guidelines, or procedures.

(c) The licensee may convey easements or rights-of-way across, or leases of, project lands for: (1) replacement, expansion, realignment, or maintenance of bridges and roads for which all necessary state and federal approvals have been obtained; (2) storm drains and water mains; (3) sewers that do not discharge into project waters; (4) minor access roads; (5) telephone, gas, and electric utility distribution lines; (6) non-project overhead electric transmission lines that do not require erection of support structures within the project boundary; (7) submarine, overhead, or underground major telephone distribution cables or major electric distribution lines (69-kV or less); and (8) water intake or pumping facilities that do not extract more than one million gallons per day from a project reservoir. No later than January 31 of each year, the licensee shall file three copies of a report briefly describing for each conveyance made under this paragraph (c) during the prior calendar year, the type of interest conveyed, the location of the lands subject to the conveyance, and the nature of the use for which the interest was conveyed.

(d) The licensee may convey fee title to, easements or rights-of-way across, or leases of project lands for: (1) construction of new bridges or roads for which all necessary state and federal approvals have been obtained; (2) sewer or effluent lines that discharge into project waters, for which all necessary federal and state water quality certification or permits have been obtained; (3) other pipelines that cross project lands or waters but do not discharge into project waters; (4) non-project overhead electric transmission lines that require erection of support structures within the project boundary, for which all necessary federal and state approvals have been obtained; (5) private or public marinas that can accommodate no more than 10 watercraft at a time and are located at least one-half mile from any other private or public marina; (6) recreational development consistent with an approved Exhibit R or approved report on recreational resources of an Exhibit E; and (7) other uses, if: (i) the amount of land conveyed for a particular use is five acres or less; (ii) all of the land conveyed is located at least 75 feet, measured horizontally, from the edge of the project reservoir at normal maximum surface elevation; and (iii) no more than 50 total acres of project lands for each project development are conveyed under this clause (d)(7) in any calendar year. At least 45 days before conveying any interest in project lands under this paragraph (d), the licensee must submit a letter to the Director, Office of Hydropower Licensing, stating its intent to convey the interest and briefly describing the type of interest and location of the lands to be conveyed (a marked exhibit G or K map may be used), the nature of the proposed use, the identity of any federal or state agency official consulted, and any federal or state approvals required for the proposed use. Unless the Director, within 45 days from the filing date, requires the licensee to file an application for prior approval, the licensee may convey the intended interest at the end of that period.
(e) The following additional conditions apply to any intended conveyance under paragraph (c) or (d) of this article:

(1) Before conveying the interest, the licensee shall consult with federal and state fish and wildlife or recreation agencies, as appropriate, and the State Historic Preservation Officer.

(2) Before conveying the interest, the licensee shall determine that the proposed use of the lands to be conveyed is not inconsistent with any approved exhibit R or approved report on recreational resources of an exhibit E; or, if the project does not have an approved exhibit R or approved report on recreational resources, that the lands to be conveyed do not have recreational value.

(3) The instrument of conveyance must include covenants running with the land adequate to ensure that: (i) the use of the lands conveyed shall not endanger health, create a nuisance, or otherwise be incompatible with overall project recreational use; and (ii) the grantee shall take all reasonable precautions to insure that the construction, operation, and maintenance of structures or facilities on the conveyed lands will occur in a manner that will protect the scenic, recreational, and environmental values of the project.

(4) The Commission reserves the right to require the licensee to take reasonable remedial action to correct any violation of the terms and conditions of this article, for the protection and enhancement of the project’s scenic, recreational, and other environmental values.

(f) The conveyance of an interest in project lands under this article does not in itself change the project boundaries. The project boundaries may be changed to exclude land conveyed under this article only upon approval of revised exhibit G or K drawings (project boundary maps) reflecting exclusion of that land. Lands conveyed under this article will be excluded from the project only upon a determination that the lands are not necessary for project purposes, such as operation and maintenance, flowage, recreation, public access, protection of environmental resources, and shoreline control, including shoreline aesthetic values. Absent extraordinary circumstances, proposals to exclude lands conveyed under this article from the project shall be consolidated for consideration when revised exhibit G or K drawings would be filed for approval for other purposes.

(g) The authority granted to the licensee under this article shall not apply to any part of the public lands and reservations of the United States included within the project boundary.

(F) The licensee shall serve copies of any Commission filing required by this order on any entity specified in this order to be consulted on matters related to that filing. Proof of service on these entities must accompany the filing with the Commission.

(G) This order is issued under authority delegated to the Director and is final unless appealed to the Commission by any party within 30 days from the issuance date of this order. Filing an appeal does not stay the effective date of this order or any date specified in this order. The licensee’s failure to appeal this order shall constitute acceptance of the license.

Environmental Assessment

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Office of Hydropower Licensing
Division of Project Review
Date: June 16, 1989
Project name: Mt. Tom Mill Hydroelectric Project
FERC Project No. 2497-002

A. Application
1. Application type: New minor license
2. Date filed with the Commission: November 28, 1988
3. Applicant: Linweave, Inc.
4. Water body: Holyoke Canal; River basin: Connecticut
5. Nearest city or town: Holyoke (See figure 1.)
6. County: Hampden; State: Massachusetts

B. Purpose and Need for Action
1. Purpose.
Linweave estimates the average annual energy generation of the Mt. Tom Mill Hydroelectric Project is 2,560 megawatthours. Project power is sold to the Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company.

2. Need for power.
The power from the project is useful in meeting a small part of the need for power projected for the New England Power Pool area of the Northeast Power Coordinating Council (NPCC) region. Power generated at the project displaces fossil-fueled power generation in the NPCC region, thus conserving nonrenewable fossil fuels and reducing the emission of noxious byproducts caused by the combustion of fossil fuels.

C. Proposed Project and Alternatives
1. Description of the proposed action. (See figure 2.)
The existing operating project was issued an initial license in 1975, which will expire in 1991. Linweave has filed for a new license for the continued operation of the project. The existing project consists of: (i) a gated intake with submerged trashracks located on the second level canal of the Holyoke Water Power Company; (ii) an 8-foot-diameter penstock 230 feet long; (iii) an existing 500-kilowatt generating unit located within the Mt. Tom Mill building; (iv) a 9-foot-wide by 6-foot-high, arched brick-lined tailrace tunnel 205 feet long, extending from the draft tube to an existing concrete outlet structure; (v) a concrete-gated outlet structure where the tailwater empties into a channel that leads to the Connecticut River; (vi) a 13.8-kilovolt transmission line 90 feet long that connects the project to an existing transmission line; and (vii) appurtenant facilities. The project operates in a run-of-river mode. Linweave does not propose any construction or change in project operation. The Holyoke Water Power Company controls flows from the Connecticut River into the canal system under a FERC major license granted to Project No. 2004.

2. Applicant’s proposed mitigative measures.
Since Linweave proposes to continue operating the project as in the past, with no new construction, no changes to the hydroelectric project, and no changes in the use and release of water, Linweave proposes no mitigative measures.

3. Federal lands affected.
No.

4. Alternatives to the proposed project.
a. Issuance of an annual license. Section 15(a) of the Federal Power Act (Act), 16 U.S.C. §808 (a), provides for the issuance of annual licenses to the prior licensee if the license expires pending the relicensing determination. Under this alternative, an annual license would continue to be issued to Linweave until a new license is issued. The annual license contains the same terms as the expired license, thereby maintaining the status quo.

b. Issuance of a non-power license. Section 15(f) of the Act, §808(b), authorizes the Commission to issue a license for nonpower use when the Commission “finds that in conformity with a comprehensive plan for improving or developing a waterway or waterways for beneficial public uses all or part of any licensed project should no longer be used or adapted for use for power purposes.” A license that is granted by the Commission for nonpower use is temporary. When the Commission finds that a state, municipality, interstate agency, or another federal agency is authorized and willing to assume regulatory supervision of the lands and facilities included under the nonpower license and does so, the Commission shall thereupon terminate the nonpower license.
c. **Denial of the license application.** Denial of the license application could lead to removal of the power facilities and removal of all project works.

D. **Consultation and Compliance**

1. Fish and wildlife agency consultation (Fish & Wildlife Coordination Act).
   b. State(s): Yes.

2. Section 7 consultation (Endangered Species Act).
   a. Listed species: Present.
   b. Consultation: Not required.
   Remarks: The federally listed endangered shortnose sturgeon under the jurisdiction of the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) inhabits the lower segment of the Connecticut River from the river’s mouth upstream to the Holyoke dam. A small landlocked population is found in the pool above the Holyoke dam (Taubert, 1980). Dadswell et al. (1984) estimated that between 800 and 1000 shortnose sturgeon inhabit the lower portion (below Holyoke) of the Connecticut River. The NMFS reports that due to the trashrack spacing, any sturgeon which might enter the canal would be prevented from entrainment into the project (personal communication, Chris Mantzaris, staff, National Marine Fisheries Service, Gloucester, Massachusetts, June 13, 1989).

   Required; applicant requested certification on 10/17/88.

   a. State Historic Preservation Officer: Yes.
   b. National Park Service: Yes.
   c. National Register status: Eligible or listed.
   d. Council: Not required.
   e. Further consultation: Not required.
   Remarks: The project is adjacent to the Holyoke Canal System, a property listed in the National Register of Historic Places. Since there would be no redevelopment, new construction, or changes to the exterior of the property, the project would not affect the canal system or any other National Register or eligible properties. The SHPO concurs with this finding (letter from Valerie A. Talmage, Executive Director, Massachusetts Historical Commission, and State Historic Preservation Officer, Boston, Massachusetts, December 9, 1988).

5. Recreational consultation (Federal Power Act).
   b. National Park Service: Yes.
   c. State(s): Yes.

6. Wild and scenic rivers (Wild and Scenic Rivers Act).
   Status: None.

   Status: None.

E. **Comments**

1. The following agencies and entities provided comments on the application or filed a motion to intervene in response to the public notice dated 03/27/89.
Commenting agencies and Date of letter
Department of the Army, New England Division Corps of Engineers 05/11/89
Environmental Protection Agency 05/17/89
Department of the Interior 05/24/89
Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife 05/30/89

Motions to intervene Date of motion
City of Holyoke, Gas and Electric Department 03/28/89
Holyoke Water Power Company 05/24/89
2. The applicant did not respond to the comments or motion(s) to intervene.

F. Affected Environment
1. General description of the locale. (See figure 3.)
   a. Description of the Connecticut River Basin.
      The Connecticut River Basin, with a drainage area of 11,765 square miles, is the largest river basin in New England. Extending from the northernmost part of New Hampshire to Long Island Sound, the river basin has a maximum length in a north-south direction of about 280 miles and a maximum width of about 62 miles. The total drainage area of the basin is 11,765 square miles. The principal tributaries to the mainstem Connecticut River, by state, are the Passumpsic, White, West, Ottauquechee, and Black Rivers in Vermont; the Ammonoosuc, Mascoma, Ashuelot, and Sugar Rivers in New Hampshire; the Millers, Deerfield, Chicopee, and Westfield Rivers in Massachusetts; and the Farmington River in Connecticut.
      This complex of rivers and tributaries constitutes one of the most extensively developed hydropower systems in the U.S. There is now a major effort by federal, state, and private sectors to restore Atlantic salmon to the Connecticut River Basin.
      The project is located in a heavily industrialized setting between the second level of the Holyoke Canal system and the Connecticut River. The climate is typical of inland Connecticut and Massachusetts with an average temperature of 49.8 degrees Fahrenheit and an average annual precipitation of 44.39 inches.
   b. Licensed and exempted projects.
      There are 62 existing licensed projects and 38 exempted projects in the Connecticut River Basin, as of June 1, 1989.
   c. Pending applications.
      There are 10 pending license applications in the Connecticut River Basin, as of June 1, 1989.
   d. Cumulative impacts.
      Cumulative impacts are defined as impacts on the environment that result from the incremental impacts of an action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless which
agency or person undertakes such other actions. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant actions taking place over a period of time (40 C.F.R., Part 1508.7).

[63,594]
A target resource is an important resource that may be cumulatively affected by multiple development within a basin. The staff identified Atlantic salmon as the target resource in the Connecticut River Basin (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 1986). The selection was based on the regional significance and geographic distribution of this species within the river basin. This anadromous fishery resource is described below in section F(2d). Impacts to Atlantic salmon are discussed in section G.

2. Descriptions of the resources in the project impact area (Source: Linweave, Inc., application, exhibit E, unless otherwise indicated).

a. Geology and soils: Bedrock in the project area is interbedded sandstone, shale, conglomerate, and basaltic lava. The glacial till deposits that lie on the glaciated surface of the bedrock are overlain by varied glacial lake deposits. The original dry, sandy, surface soils in the project area have been highly altered by construction of the project and by fill and construction activities associated with urban development of the area.

b. Streamflow: Water flow in the canal system is controlled at the canal gatehouse in order to supply necessary water to various hydropower and industrial facilities along the canal. The amount of flow entering the canal system ranges from no flow, when the gatehouse is shut down, to 5,155 cubic feet per second, which is the maximum hydraulic capacity of the canal.

c. Water quality: The Connecticut River upstream of Holyoke dam is classified as Class B water by the Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution. Class B water is suitable for primary and secondary contact recreation and fish and wildlife resources. Class B water must have dissolved oxygen (DO) levels greater than 5.0 milligrams per liter (mg/l) and a pH between 6.5 and 8.0. The first level canal is classified as Class C. Class C water is suitable for secondary contact recreation and fish and wildlife resources and must have a DO level greater than 5.0 mg/l and a pH between 6.5 and 9.0 standard units. Water in the project area conforms to the state water quality standards.

d. Fisheries:
   Anadromous: Present.
   Resident: Present.

Anadromous fish species found in the Connecticut River in the vicinity of the project include American shad, Atlantic salmon, blueback herring, sea lamprey, striped bass, shortnose sturgeon, and American eel (catadromous).

Resident fish species found in the Connecticut River in the vicinity of the project include carp, channel catfish, smallmouth bass, largemouth bass, spottail shiner, white perch, bluegill, rainbow trout, and brown trout.

e. Vegetation: Dominant vegetative species in the vicinity of the project include oak, maple, white pine, pitch pine, grasses, and ornamental shrubs.

f. Wildlife: Undeveloped land in the project area provides habitat for the gray squirrel, eastern cottontail rabbit, raccoon, muskrat, beaver, weasel, pheasant, and small field mammals (mice and voles). The industrial area is inhabited by English sparrows, starlings, robins, mockingbirds, Norway rats, raccoons, and eastern cottontail rabbits.

g. Cultural: There are properties listed on, or eligible for listing on, the National Register of Historic Places in the project impact area.

   Description: The Holyoke Canal System, a contributing element in the Holyoke Canal Historic District, is listed on the National Register of Historic Places and is within the area of the project’s potential environmental impact. The portion of the canal in the project area was constructed between 1854 and 1857.

h. Visual quality: The project is in an industrial area. Its appearance is consistent with that of the surrounding buildings and structures.
i. Recreation: The immediate project area receives no significant recreational use because of its location in a highly industrialized area. No recreational facilities are located at the project. Recreational facilities including playgrounds, swimming pools, and a skating rink are available for use within walking distance of the project. The Connecticut River in the project vicinity is used for boating and fishing.

j. Land use: The project is entirely within the city of Holyoke. Land in the project area is primarily used for commercial, industrial, and residential purposes. The canal system is used for generating hydroelectric power at several locations.

k. Socioeconomics: The socioeconomic well-being of the area is influenced by industrial and urban development.

G. Environmental Issues and Proposed Resolutions

There are 3 issues addressed below.

1. Cumulative impacts on Atlantic salmon resulting from developing several hydropower projects in the Connecticut River Basin: The Atlantic salmon is currently a primary target species for a major federal, state, and private sector restoration effort. The goal of the restoration program is to provide and to maintain a sport fishery for Atlantic salmon in the Connecticut River Basin and to restore and maintain [63,595] a spawning population in selected tributaries (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 1986).

Seaward migrating salmon smolts in the river basin pass numerous hydropower developments where they may become entrained and impinged. The more hydropower facilities outmigrating fish have to pass, the greater the fish losses. Among these hydropower facilities are the Holyoke dam and the canal system.

When river discharges are high and water is flowing over the dam, migrating fish pass downstream with little or no delay (Northeast Utilities Service Company, 1984). On the other hand, outmigrating fish would be entrained into the canal system by high flows entering the canal if they arrive at the dam when flashboards, permitting little or no spillage, are in place. Once in the canal, escape is very difficult. Fish can then be entrained in the turbines of hydropower plants operating along the canal.

On February 26, 1988, the Commission ordered the Holyoke Water Power Company (HWPC) to spill water over Holyoke dam when salmon smolts are migrating downstream (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 1988a). [HWPC is the licensee for the Hadley Falls Project (FERC Project No. 2004) and the entity that controls the dam and the water going into the canal.] Spilling water over the Holyoke dam allows migrating salmon smolts to pass safely downstream in the spill, instead of entering the canal system.

Canal users and the HWPC have since implemented an economic dispatch agreement, in which the HWPC passes all flow downstream at the Holyoke dam and sells the users electricity, instead of water, when salmon smolts are migrating downstream. Linweave participates in this agreement. This arrangement prevents flow from entering the canal and attracting outmigrating Atlantic salmon, and minimizes the number of outmigrating Atlantic salmon trapped in the canal, and the number of project-related impacts to fish in the river basin.

Continuing to operate the Mt. Tom Mill Hydroelectric Project would not contribute to cumulative adverse impacts on Atlantic salmon.

2. Authority to prescribe fish passage facilities: The Department of the Interior states that fish passage facilities may be needed at the project in the future and, by letter of May 24, 1989, they reserve the authority to prescribe such fish passage facilities. The Commission reserves authority to require the licensee to provide fishways, as may be prescribed by the Secretary of Interior pursuant to section 18 of the Federal Power Act, if the need arises in the future.

3. Entraining fish in the intake structure: The Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife (DFW) recommended the trashracks at the intake structures have a bar spacing not greater than 1 inch to prevent the entrainment of fish. The project’s intake opening includes trashracks with one-inch slot width spacing between bars. The bar spacing at the existing structure satisfies the DFW’s recommendation.

H. Environmental Impacts
1. Assessment of impacts expected from the applicant's proposed project (P), with the applicant's proposed mitigation and any conditions set by a federal land management agency; the proposed project with any additional mitigation recommended by the staff (Ps); and any action alternative considered (A). Assessment symbols indicate the following impact levels:

O = None; 1 = Minor; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Major; A = Adverse; B = Beneficial; L = Long-term; S = Short-term.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Ps</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Geology-Soils</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Streamflow</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Water quality:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dissolved oxygen</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turbidity and sedimentation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>Fisheries:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anadromous</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Vegetation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f.</td>
<td>Wildlife</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g.</td>
<td>Cultural:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Archeological</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Historical</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h.</td>
<td>Visual quality</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>Recreation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j.</td>
<td>Land use</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k.</td>
<td>Socioeconomics</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Recommended alternative (including proposed, required, and recommended mitigative measures):
Proposed project.
3. Reason(s) for selecting the preferred alternative.
The power generated at this project is produced without any known adverse environmental impacts.

I. Unavoidable Adverse Impacts of the Recommended Alternative

There are no known adverse impacts.

J. Conclusion

Finding of No Significant Impact. Approval of the recommended alternative [H(2)] would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment; therefore, an environmental impact statement (EIS) will not be prepared.
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Safety and Design Assessment
Mt. Tom Mill Hydroelectric Project
FERC Project No. 2497-002, MA

Dam Safety
The applicant for the Mt. Tom Mill Project is Linweave, Inc., a manufacturer of paper products. No dam or spillway is included in the project works. All water is delivered to the project via the Holyoke Second Level Canal, which is owned and operated by the Holyoke Water Power Company (HWP).

The New York Regional Office (NYRO), in an Operation Inspection Report dated October 10, 1986, indicated that the existing project had no downstream hazard potential. Since there is no dam, the staff concludes that there are no dam safety problems.

**Project Design**

The existing project works would consist of: (1) a gated intake with submerged trashracks located on the Second Level Canal of the HWP; (2) a 230-foot-long, 8-foot-diameter steel penstock; (3) a single runner, Francis turbine directly coupled to a 500-kilowatt (kW) Westinghouse generator; (4) a 205-foot-long, 9-foot-wide by 6-foot-high arched, brick-lined tailrace tunnel; (5) a concrete gated outlet structure where the tailwater empties into a channel that leads to the Connecticut River; (6) a 0.6-kilovolt (kV), 240-foot-long transmission line, and a 13.8-kV, 90-foot-long transmission line; and (7) appurtenant facilities. The 300-foot-long by 170-foot-wide Mt. Tom Mill building which houses the generating equipment is not considered part of the project works.

The applicant has proposed no new construction or improvements for the existing project; therefore, the project license does not need to include any special engineering articles.

The NYRO October 1986 Operation Inspection Report cited no deficiencies in project safety or operation. There is no dam within the project boundaries.

The staff concludes that the project would be safe and adequate if operated in conformance with the terms of a new license.

**Water Resource Planning**

The project works would contain one 500-kW generator directly connected to a Francis turbine.

The gross head at the site ranges from 24 to 32 feet depending upon the tailwater elevation and the average head is 30 feet. The design head of the turbine is 32 feet and its hydraulic capacity is estimated to be 230 cubic feet per second (cfs). The applicant indicated that the project generated 2,560 megawatthours (MWh) annually. The staff finds that the plant factor would be 58.4 percent. The project would continue to be operated manually in a run-of-river mode.

All water to the project is delivered via the Second Level Canal. The Second Level Canal is one of a series of three canals (First Level Canal, Second Level Canal, and Third Level Canal) that receives water from the Hadley Falls Project (FERC Project No. 2004) on the Connecticut River. The HWP owns and operates the Hadley Falls Project and its canal system, which delivers water to various manufacturing and other business concerns for process purposes and power generation. The applicant for the Mt. Tom Mill Project is Linweave, Inc.

The water for power generation is allocated in the form of mill powers (mp) to owners of lands adjacent to the canal system, under indentures or contracts between the individual property owners and the HWP.

These “mp” quantities vary according to water flow in the Connecticut River and fall into the following three categories:

- **Permanent power** - the amount of water sold to Linweave whenever the average daily river flows in the Connecticut River are equal to or greater than 3,100 cfs.
- **Surplus power** - water offered for sale to owners of the so-called permanent power rights whenever average river flows in the Connecticut River are equal to or greater than 3,600 cfs.
- **Non-permanent power** - water which is not guaranteed, but which would be furnished when there is more than a sufficient quantity of water in the river to supply all the permanent power owners together with 50 percent of it as surplus. Water would be supplied 6 days a week (but not on Sundays or holidays) when the river flows are equal to or greater than 3,865 cfs. Sunday and holiday operation is allowed when the average river flows exceed approximately 4,300 cfs.
Under the allocation terms of the indentures, Linweave is entitled, in perpetuity, to draw a carefully prescribed quantity of water from the HWP canal system for power generation and discharge it into the Connecticut River below the project. Linweave is also entitled to purchase and use such surplus water as the HWP makes available from time to time.

The Mt. Tom Mill Project is authorized two types of water allocation rights based on the indentures: permanent and permanent plus 50 percent surplus. For permanent power allocations, the Mt. Tom Mill Project is authorized to withdraw 5 mp or 143 cfs. For permanent plus 50 percent surplus power allocations, the project is authorized to withdraw 7.7 mp or 222 cfs. Based on the staff’s flow duration curve for the Connecticut River, the applicant can withdraw 143 cfs about 90 percent of the time, and 222 cfs about 87 percent of the time. Whenever the flows in the Connecticut River exceed 15,000 cfs, the applicant can withdraw the maximum hydraulic capacity of the turbine unit, which is 230 cfs, from the Second Level Canal. The staff estimates that this could occur about 31 percent of the time.

The applicant has estimated that the project operates at its maximum capacity (230 cfs) about 26 percent of the time, at its permanent plus 50 percent surplus allocation (222 cfs) about 59 percent of the time, and at its permanent allocation (143 cfs) about 4 percent of the time. Based on the applicant’s estimates, the project would be shut down 11 percent of the time. The NYRO 1986 Operation Inspection Report indicated that the canal system is shut down 3 times a year: once in April, once in July, and once in October. During those periods, the canal system is drained, inspected, and repaired if needed. The repairs are generally scheduled for the July shutdown.

There are certain periods of the year when the project cannot operate and the applicant is directed by the HWP to discontinue drawing water from the canal system. These periods include: (1) periods when the canal system is dewatered for inspection and maintenance; (2) periods of low flow in the Connecticut River when a public authority has required that the low flows be released at the Hadley Falls Project rather than through the canals according to the indentures, without generating power; and (3) periods when a public authority requires that the waters of the Connecticut River flow through the HWP’s hydroelectric generating facilities at the Hadley Falls Project rather than through the canal system according to the indentures. During the latter periods when the HWP generates power at the Hadley Falls Project rather than releases water through the canal system, the applicant is entitled to compensation in kilowatthours (in lieu of water) under the terms of the Water Use Agreement (or Economic Dispatch Agreement). In 1987, the compensation provided under the Economic Dispatch Agreement amounted to 1,545 MWh for the eight projects owned by the applicant.

The staff’s independent analysis shows that the applicant makes reasonable use of its allocated water. Because of the water allocation limits of the HWP, the applicant cannot develop additional potential at the site. Hence, the staff concludes that the applicant has properly developed the head and hydraulic potential of the site.

The August 1983 Planning Status Report for the Connecticut River Basin lists 19 existing hydroelectric projects, including the Mt. Tom Mill Project, which are located on the canal system owned by HWP. The applicant owns eight of these projects. The report also listed the Holyoke Project on the canal system as a potential project with an installed capacity of 1,222 kW and an annual generation of 13,165 MWh. The report did not indicate any proposed project on the canal system that would be in conflict with the Mt. Tom Mill Project.

The staff’s review of the state and federal agency comments and of seven comprehensive resource development plans identified no plans with which the existing project would be in conflict within this reach of the Second Level Canal. The staff presently has no specific comments or recommendations from reviewing agencies addressing flood control, navigation, or water supply requirements for the Second Level Canal. No competing applications for the project are currently pending before the Commission.

The City of Holyoke, Massachusetts Gas and Electric Department, and the HWP filed petitions to intervene in the licensing proceeding. Neither party opposes the issuance of a license but each wanted to protect its interests. The HWP submitted a series of technical comments correcting certain information in the application. None of the corrections affect this report.
The generation from this project is equivalent to generation produced from burning 4,600 barrels of oil or 1,000 tons of coal annually in a steam-electric plant.

In summary, the staff’s analysis shows that the existing project is properly designed to develop the hydropower potential of the site.

**Economic Evaluation**

The proposed project would be economically beneficial, so long as the projected levelized cost is less than the levelized cost of alternative energy and capacity.

In the case of the Mt. Tom Mill Project, the applicant has proposed no new construction. Hence, the levelized project costs would be the operation and maintenance costs and administrative and general costs. These costs are small compared to the value of the power.

The applicant currently sells the project power to Fitchburg Gas & Electric Light Company, pursuant to a power sales agreement dated March 25, 1982, and it would continue to do so.

The staff concludes that the existing project is economically beneficial.

**Exhibits**

The staff concludes that the following parts of exhibit A and the following exhibit F drawings conform to the Commission’s rules and regulations. The staff therefore includes these in the license:

*Exhibit A* - The following sections of exhibit A filed November 28, 1988:
- The turbine and generator description on page A-2;
- The transmission line description on page A-2; and corrected by letter dated April 10, 1989;
- Additional mechanical and electrical equipment description on pages A-1 through A-7.

*Exhibit FERC No. Showing*

- F-1 2497-5 Site Plan
- F-2 2497-6 Powerhouse Plan and Elevation

**Preparers**

David E. Zehner, Civil Engineer
C. Frank Miller, Electrical Engineer
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